Meio

OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS, &c.

IN RELATION TO THE

Bank of the United States.

House of Representatives of the United States,

APRIL 13, 1830.

The Committee of Ways and Means, consisting of

The Honorable George M'Duffie, of S. Carolina, Chairman,

" GULIAN C. VERPLANCK, of New York,

" John Gilmore, of Pennsylvania,

WALTER H. OVERTON, of Louisiana,

HENRY W. Dwight, of Massachusetts,

RALPH I. INGERSOLL, of Connecticut,

ALEXANDER SMYTH, of Virginia, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee of Ways and Means, to whom was referred so much of the Message of the President, as relates to the Bank of the United States, beg leave to report:

That they have bestowed upon the subject all the attention demanded by its intrinsic importance, and now respectfully submit the result of their deliberations to the consideration of the House. There are few subjects, having reference to the policy of an established government, so vitally connected with the health of the body politic, or in which the pecuniary interests of society are so extensively and deeply involved. No one of the attributes of sovereignty carries within a more solemn responsibility, or calls in requisition a higher degree of wisdom, than the power of regulating the common currency, and thus fixing the general standard of value for a great commercial community, composed of confederated States.

Such being, in the opinion of the committee, the high and delicate trust exclusively committed to Congress by the Federal constitution, they have proceeded to discharge the duty assigned to them with a corresponding sense of its magnitude and difficulty.

The most simple and obvious analysis of the subject, as it is presented by the message of the President, exhibits the following questions for the decision of the National Legislature.

1. Has Congress the constitutional power to incorporate a bank, such as that of the United States?

2. Is it expedient to establish and maintain such an institution?

3. Is it expedient to establish "a National Bank, founded upon the credit of the Government and its revenues?"

I. If the concurrence of all the departments of the Government, at differ-

mg20447

ent periods of our history, under every administration, and during the ascendency of both the great political parties, into which the country was divided, soon after the adoption of the present constitution, shall be regarded as having the authority ascribed to such sanctions by the common consent of all well regulated communities, the constitutional power of congress to incerporate a bank, may be assumed as a postulate no longer open to controversy. In a little more than two years after the Government went into operation, and at a period when most of the distinguished members of the Federal Convention were either in the Executive or Legislative councils, the act, incorporating the first bank of the United States, passed both branches of Congress by large majorities, and received the deliberate sanction of President Washington, who had then recently presided over the deliberations of the Convention. The constitutional power of Congress to pass the act of incorporation, was thoroughly investigated, both in the Executive Cabinet and in Congress, under circumstances, in all respects, propitious to a dispassionate decision. There was at that time, no organization of political parties, and the question was, therefore, decided by those, who, from their knowledge and experience, were peculiarly qualified to decide correctly; and who were entirely free from the influence of that party excitement and prejudice which would justly impair, in the estimation of posterity, the authority of a legislative interpretation of the constitutional charter. No persons can be more competent to give a just construction to the constitution. than those who had a principal agency in framing it; and no administration can claim a more perfect exemption from all those influences which, sometimes, pervert the judgments, even of the most wise and patriotic, than that of the Father of his country, during the first term of his service.

Such were the circumstances, under which all the branches of the National Legislature solemnly determined that the power of creating a National Bank was vested in Congress by the constitution. The bank thus created, continued its operations for twenty years—the period for which its charter was granted—during which time, public and private credit were raised, from a prostrate to a very elevated condition, and the finances of the na-

tion were placed upon the most solid foundation.

When the charter expired, in 1814, Congress refused to renew it, principally owing, as the committee believe, to the then existing state of political parties. Soon after the bank was chartered, the two great parties that have since divided the country, began to assume an organized existence. Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Madison, the former in the Executive Cabinet, and the latter in Congress, had been opposed to the establishment of the bank, on constitutional grounds, and being placed at the head of the party most unfavourable to the extension of the powers of the Government, by implication, the bank question came to be regarded as, in some degree, the test of political principle.

When Mr. Jefferson came into power, upon the strong tide of a great political revolution, the odium of the Alien and Sedition laws was, in particommunicated to the Bink of the United States; and, although he gave his official sanction to an act, creating a new branch of that institution, at New Orleans, and to another to punish the counterfeiting of its bills, yet, when the question of renewing the charter came before Congress, it was discussed as a party question. And, though some of the most distinguished republicans, including Mr. Gallatin, then Secretary of the Treasury, and Mr. Crawford, then a member of the Senate, were decidedly in favor of the re-

newal, sustaining the measure by able arguments, the votes in both branches of Congress were distinctly marked as party votes. At no time, since the commencement of the Government, has there existed a more violent party excitement, than that which marked the period under review. It was the period of the embargo, non-intercourse, and other commercial restrictions; when the undiscriminating opposition of the leaders of the federal party to the measures adopted by the administration, to vindicate our rights against British aggression, had caused the great majority of the American people to view these leaders as the applogists of a nation, already regarded in the light of a public enemy. When to these circumstances we add, that the stock of the bank was principally held by British subjects, and Americans of the unpopular party, the House will readily perceive how great were the national and party prejudices, which must have been arrayed against the proposition to renew its charter. It was stated by Mr. Clay, in a speech delivered in the Senate, that seven-tenths of the stock belonged to British subjects, and that certain English noblemen, and a late Lord Chancellor, were among the very largest of the stockholders. With all these difficulties to encounter, the proposition for renewing the charter was lost only by the casting vote of the President of the Senate, and by a majority of a single vote in the House

of Representatives.

In less than three years after the expiration of the charter—the war with Great Britain having taken place in the mean time—the circulating medium became so disordered, the public finances so deranged, and the public credit so impaired, that the enlightened patriot, Mr. Dallas, who then presided over the Treasury Department, with the sanction of Mr. Madison. and, as it is believed, every member of the cabinet, recommended to Congress the establishment of a National Bank, as the only measure by which the public credit could be revived, and the fiscal resources of the Government redeemed from a ruinous, and otherwise incurable embarrassment: and, such had been the impressive lesson taught by a very brief, but fatal experience, that the very institution, which had been so recently denounced, and rejected by the republican party, being now recommended by a republican administration, was carried through both branches of Congress, as a republican measure, by an overwhelming majority of the republican party.-It is true that Mr. Madison did not approve and sign the bill which passed the two Houses, because it was not such a bill as had been recommended by the Secretary of the Treasury, and because the bank it proposed to create, was not calculated, in the opinion of the President, to relieve the necessities of the country. But he premised his objections to the measure, by "waiving the question of the constitutional authority of the Legislature to establish an incorporated bank, as being precluded, in his opinion, by repeated recognitions, under varied circumstances, of the validity of such an institution in acts of the Legislative, Executive, and Judicial branches of the government, accompanied by indications, in different modes, of a concurrence of the general will of the nation." Another bill was immediately intro duced, and would, in all probability, have become a law, had not the news of peace, by doing away the pressure of the emergency, induced Congress to suspend further proceedings on the subject, until the ensuing session .-At the commencement of that session, Mr. Madison invited the attention of Congress to the subject, and Mr. Dillas again urged the necessity of establishing a bank to restore the currency, and facilitate the collection and disbursement of the public revenue; and so deep and solemn was the conviction upon the minds of the public functionaries, that such an institution was the only practicable means of restoring the circulating medium to a state of soundness, that, notwithstanding the decided opposition of all the state banks and their debtors, and, indeed, the whole debtor class of the community, the act, incorporating the present Bank of the United States, was passed by considerable majorities in both branches of Congress, and

approved by Mr. Madison. This brief history of the former and present bank, forcibly suggests a few practical reflections. It is to be remarked, in the first place, that, since the adoption of the Constitution, a bank has existed under the authority of the Federal Government, for thirty-three out of forty years; during which time, public and private credit have been maintained at an elevation fully equal to what has existed in any nation in the world: whereas, in the two short intervals, during which no national bank existed, public and private credit were greatly impaired, and in the latter instance, the fiscal operations of the Government were almost entirely arrested. In the second place, it is worthy of special notice, that, in both the instances in which Congress has created a bank, it has been done under circumstances calculated to give the highest authority to the decision. The first instance, as has been already remarked. was in the primitive days of the republic, when the patriots of the Revolution. and the sages of the Federal Convention, were the leading members both of the Executive and Legislative councils; and when General Washington, who, at the head of her armies, had conducted his country to independence, and, as the head of the Convention, had presided over those deliberations which resulted in the establishment of the present Constitution, was the acknowledged President of a people, undistracted by party divisions. The second instance was under circumstances of a very different, but equally decisive We find the very party which had so recently defeated the proposition to renew the charter of the old bank, severely schooled both by adversity and experience, magnanimously sacrificing the pride of consistency, and the prejudices of party, at the shrine of patriotism. It may be said without disparagement, that an assembly of higher talent and purer patriotism has never existed since the days of the Revolution, than the Congress by which the present bank was incorporated. If ever a political party existed, of which it might be truly said, that "all the ends they aimed at were their country's," it was the republican party of that day. They had just conducted the country through the perils of a war, waged in defence of her rights and honour, and, elevating their views far above the narrow and miserable ends of party strife, sought only to advance the permanent happiness of the people. It was to this great end that they established the present bank.

In this review, it will be no less instructive than curious, to notice some of the changes made in the opinions of prominent men, yielding to the authority of experience. Mr. Madison, who was the leading opponent of the bank created in 1791, recommended and sanctioned the bank created in 1816; and Mr. Clay, who strenuously opposed the renewal of the charter in 1811, as strenuously supported the proposition to grant the charter in 1816.

That may be said of the bank charter, which can be said of few contested questions of constitutional law. Both the great political parties that have so long divided the country, have solemnly pronounced it to be constitutional, and there are but very few of the prominent men of either party, who do not stand committed in its favour. When, to this imposing array of authorities, the committee add the solemn and unanimous decision of the Supreme court,

in a case which fully and distinctly submitted the constitutional question to their cognizance, may they not ask, in the language of Mr. Dallas, " can it be deemed a violation of the right of private opinion to consider the constitutionality of a national bank as a question forever settled and at rest?"

And here the committee beg to be distinctly understood, as utterly disclaiming the idea of ascribing to the decision of any or of all the departments of the Government upon a great constitutional question, the binding authority which belongs to judicial precedents, in cases of mere private right, depending upon the construction of the ordinary acts of the Legislature. No length of prescription, or concurrence of authority, can consecrate the usurpation of powers subversive of public liberty, and destructive of public happiness. But, where the power exercised is clearly conducive to the public welfare, and its constitutionality is merely doubtful, it would seem to be one of the most obvious dictates of practical wisdom, to regard the decision of those who had the best means of ascertaining the intention of the Constitution, and who were actuated by the most undoubted purity and disinterestedness of motive, as of sufficient authority at least to overrule theoretical objections and silence individual scruples.

The committee will now submit a few remarks, with the design of showing, that, viewing the constitutionality of the bank as an original question.

the arguments in its favour are at least as strong as those against it.

The earliest, and the principal objection urged against the constitutionality of a national bank, was, that Congress had not the power to create corpo-That Congress has a distinct and substantive power to create corporations, without reference to the objects entrusted to its jurisdiction, is a proposition which never has been maintained, within the knowledge of the Committee; but, that any one of the powers expressly conferred upon Congress, is subject to the limitation, that it shall not be carried into effect by the agency of a corporation, is a proposition which cannot be maintained, in

the opinion of the committee.

If congress, under the authority to pass all laws, necessary and proper for carrying into effect the powers vested in all or any of the departments of the government, may rightfully pass a law inflicting the punishment of death, without any other authority, it is difficult to conceive why it may not pass a law, under the same authority, for the more humble purpose of creating a corporation. The power of creating a corporation is one of the lowest attributes, or, more properly speaking, incidents of sovereign power. The chartering of a bank, for example, does not authorize the corporation to do any thing, which the individuals composing it might not do without the charter. the right of every individual of the union to give credit to whom he chooses and to obtain credit where he can get it. It is not the policy of any commercial country to restrict the free circulation of credit, whether in the form of promissory notes, bills of exchange, or bank notes. The charter of the Bank of the United States, therefore, merely enables the corporation to do, in an artificial capacity, and with more convenience, what it would be lawful for the individual corporators to do without incorporation. Mr. Girard established a bank in Philadelphia without a charter, which was in very high credit within the sphere of its circulation; and it cannot be doubted, that he might have formed a banking co-partnership with the principal capitalists in the other commercial cities of the Union, of which the bills would have had a general credit in every part of the country, particularly if the Federal Government had provided that these bills should be received in discharge of its dues.

The only material particular in which the charter of the Bank of the United States confers a privilege upon the corporation, apparently inconsistent with the state laws, is, the exemption of the individual property of the corporators from responsibility for the debts of the corporation. But, if the community deal with the bank, knowing that the capital subscribed is alone liable for its debts, no one can complain either of imposition or injury; and, in point of fact, no one ever his complained on that score, or ever will. The real complaint against the bank, is not that it has not a sufficient basis for its credit, but that its credit is too extensive. The objection lies, therefore, not against the pecuniary operations of the bank itself. Now, these operations consist in the use of its own capital—a faculty not surely derived from the government, but, in the exercise of which, the government imposes many useful restrictions for the benefit of itself and the community.

The committee have presented this brief analysis of a bank corporation, with the view of shewing that there is nothing in the nature of the thing, which renders it unfit to be an instrument in the hands of a government admitted to be sovereign in its appropriate sphere, for carrying into effect pow-

ers expressly delegated.

It now remains for the committee to show that the Bank of the United States is a "necessary and proper," or in other words, a natural and appropriate means of executing the powers vested in the Federal Government. In the discussion of 1791, and also in that before the Supreme Court, the powers of raising, collecting, and disbursing the public revenue, of borrowing money on the credit of the United States, and paying the public debt, were those which were supposed most clearly to carry with them the incidental right of incorporating a bank to facilitate these operations. be no doubt, that these fiscal operations are greatly facilitated by a bank, and it is confidently believed that no person has presided twelve months over the treasury, from its first organization to the present time, without coming to the conclusion, that such an institution is exceedingly useful to the public finances in time of peace, but indispensable in time of war. But as this view of the question has been fully unfolded in former discussions, familiar to the house, the committee will proceed to examine the relation which the Bank of the United States bears to another of the powers of the Federal Government, but slightly adverted to in former discussions of the subject.

The power to "coin money and fix the ralue thereof," is expressly and exclusively vested in Congress. This grant was evidently intended to invest Congress with the power of regulating the circulating medium. "Coin" was regarded, at the period of framing the constitution, as synonymous with "currency;" as it was then generally believed that bank notes could only be maintained in circulation by being the true representative of the precious metals. The word "coin," therefore, must be regarded as a particular term, standing as the representative of a general idea. No principle of sound construction will justify a rigid adherence to the letter, in opposition to the plain intention of the clause. If, for example, the gold bars of Ricardo should be substituted for our present coins, by the general consent of the commercial world, could it be maintained that Congress would not have the power to make such money, and fix its value, because it is not "coined?" This would be sacrificing sense to sound, and substance to mere form. This clause of the Constitution is analogous to that which gives Congress the power "to

establish post roads." Giving to the word "establish" its restricted interpretation, as being equivalent to "fix," or "prescribe," can it be doubted that Congress has the power to establish a canal, or a river, as a post route, as well as a road? Roads were the ordinary channels of conveyance, and the term was, therefore, used as synonymous with "routes" whatever might be the channel of transportation, and, in like manner, "coin," being the ordinary and most known form of a circulating medium, that term was used as synonymous with currency.

An argument in favor of the view just taken, may be fairly deduced from the fact, that the states are expressly prohibited from "coining money, or emitting bills of credit," and from making any thing but "gold and silver a lawful tender in payment of debts." This strongly confirms the idea, that the subject of regulating the circulating medium, whether consisting of coin or paper, was, at the same time that it was taken from the control of the States, vested in the only depository in which it could be placed, consistently with the obvious design of having a common measure of value throughout

the Union.

But, even if it should be conceded, that the grant of power to "coin money and fix the value thereof," does not, in its terms, give Congress the power of regulating any other than the "coined" currency of the Union, may not the power of regulating any substituted currency, and especially one which is the professed representative of coin, be fairly claimed as an incidental poweras an essential means of carrying into effect the plain intention of the Constitution, in clothing Congress with the principal power? This power was granted in the same clause with that to regulate weights and measures, and for similar reasons. The one was designed to ensure a uniform measure of value, as the other was designed to ensure a uniform measure of quantity. The former is decidedly the more important, and belongs essentially to the General Government, according to every just conception of our system. currency of uniform value is essential to what every one will admit to be of cardinal importance: the equal action of our revenue system, upon the different parts of the Union. The state of things which existed when the bank was incorporated, furnished a most pregnant commentary on this clause of the constitution. The currency of the country consisted of the paper of local banks, variously depreciated. At one of the principal sea-ports the local currency was 20 per cent, below par. Now it was in vain for Congress to regulate the value of coin, when the actual currency, professing to be its equivalent, bore no fixed relation to it. This great and essential power of fixing the standard of value, was, in point of fact, taken from Congress, and exercised by some hundreds of irresponsible banking corporations, with the strongest human motives to abuse it, because their enormous profits resulted from The power of laying and collecting imposts and excises, is expressly subject to the condition that they "shall be uniform throughout the United States;" and it is also provided, that "no preference shall be given, by any regulation of commerce, or revenue, to the ports of one state over those of another." Now, when it is known that the circulating medium of Baltimore was 20 per cent, below the value of the circulating medium of Boston, is it not apparent that an impost duty, though nominally uniform, would in effect, make a discrimination in favor of Baltimore, proportioned to the depreciation of the local currency? Congress, therefore, not only had the power, but as it seems to the committee, were under the most solemn con stitutional obligations to restore the disordered currency; and the bank of the United States was not only an appropriate means for the accomplishment of that end, but in the opinion of the committee, the only safe and effectual means that could have been used. This view of the subject is in full accordance with the opinion of Mr. Madison, as expressed in his Message of December, 1816. "But," says he, "for the interest of the community at large, as well as for the purposes of the Treasury, it is essential that the nation should possess a currency of equal value, credit, and use, wherever it may circulate. The Constitution has entrusted Congress, exclusively, with the power of creating and regulating a currency of that description, and the measures which were taken, during the last session, in execution of the power, give every promise of success. The bank of the United States, under auspices the most favourable, cannot fail to be an important auxiliary."

Such are the authorities and such the arguments which have brought the committee to the conclusion, that the power to incorporate a bank is incidental to the powers of collecting and disbursing the public revenue; of borrowing money on the credit of the United States; of paying the public debt; and, above all, of fixing and regulating the standard of value, and thereby insuring, at least so far as the medium of payment is concerned, the uniformity

and equality of taxation.

II. The next question proposed for consideration, is the expediency of establishing an incorporated bank, with a view to promote the great ends already indicated. In discussing the constitutionality of such a measure, some of the considerations which render it expedient have been slightly unfolded. But these require a more full and complete development, while others re-

main to be presented.

It must be assumed as the basis of all sound reasoning on this subject. that the existence of a paper currency, issued by banks deriving their charters from the state governments, cannot be prohibited by Congress. Indeed, bank credit and bank paper are so extensively interwoven with the commercial operations of society, that even if Congress had the constitutional power, it would be utterly impossible to produce so entire a change in the monetary system of the country, as to abolish the agency of banks of discount, without involving the community in all the distressing embarrassments usually attendant on great political revolutions, subverting the titles The sudden withdrawal of some hundred millions to private property. of bank credit, would be equivalent, in its effects, to the arbitrary and despotic transfer of the property of one portion of the community to another, to the extent, probably, of half that amount. Whatever, therefore, may be the advantages of a purely metallic currency, and whatever the objections to a circulating medium partly composed of bank paper, the committee consider that they are precluded, by the existing state of things, from instituting a comparison between them, with a view to any practical result.

If they were not thus precluded, and it were submitted to them as an original question, whether the acknowledged and manifest facilities of bank credit and bank paper, are more than counterbalanced by the distressing vicissitudes in trade incident to their use, they are by no means prepared to say, that they would not give a decided preference to the more costly and cumbersome medium.

But the question really presented for their determination, is not between a metallic and a paper currency, but between a paper currency of uniform value, and subject to the control of the only power competent to its regula-

tion, and a paper currency of varying and fluctuating value, and subject to no common or adequate control whatever. On this question it would seem that there could scarcely exist a difference of opinion; and that this is substantially the question involved in considering the expediency of a national bank, will satisfactorily appear by the comparison of a state of the currency previous to the establishment of the present bank, and its condition for the

fast ten years. Soon after the expiration of the charter of the first bank of the United States, an immense number of local banks sprung up under the pecuniary exigencies produced by the withdrawal of so large an amount of bank credit, as necessarily resulted from the winding up of its concerns—an amount falling very little short of fifteen millions of dollars. These banks being entirely free from the salutary control which the bank of the United States had recently exercised over the local institutions, commenced that system of imprudent trading and excessive issues, which speedily involved the country in all the embarrassments of a disordered currency. The extraordinary stimulus of a heavy war expenditure, derived principally from loans, and a corresponding multiplication of local banks, chartered by the double score in some of the States, hastened the catastrophe which must have occurred, at no distant period, without these extraordinary causes. The last year of the war presented the singular and melancholy spectacle of a nation abounding in resources, a people abounding in self-devoting patriotism, and a government reduced to the very brink of avowed bankruptcy, solely for the want of a national institution, which at the same time that it would have facilitated the government loans and other treasury operations, would have furnished a circulating medium of general credit in every part of the Union.— In this view of the subject, the committee are fully sustained by the opinion of Mr. Dallas, then Secretary of the Treasury, and by the concurring and almost unanimous opinion of all parties in Congress: for, whatever diversity of opinion prevailed, as to the proper basis and organization of a bank, almost every one agreed that a national bank, of some sort, was indispensably necessary to rescue the country from the greatest of financial calamities.

The committee will now present a brief exposition of the state of the currency at the close of the war, of the injury which resulted from it, as well to the government as to the community, and their reasons for believing that it could not have been restored to a sound condition, and cannot now be preserved in that condition, without the agency of such an institution as the Bink of the United States.

The price current appended to this report will exhibit a scale of depreciation in the local currency, ranging through various degrees to 20, and even to 25 per cent. Among the principal eastern cities, Washington and Baltimore were the points at which the depreciation was greatest. The paper of the banks in these places, was from 20 to 22 per cent. below par. At Philadelphia, the depreciation was considerably less, though even there it was 17 to 18 per cent. In New York and Charleston, it was from 7 to 10 per cent. But in the interior of the country, where banks were established, the depreciation was even greater than at Washington and Baltimore. In the western part of Pennsylvania, and particularly at Pittsburgh, it was 25 per cent.—These statements, however, of the relative depreciation of bank paper at various places, as compared with specie, give a very inadequate idea of the enormous evils inflicted upon the community, by the excessive issues of bank

No proposition is better established than that the value of money's whether it consists of specie or paper, is depreciated in exact proportion to the increase of its quantity, in any given state of the demand for it. If, for example, the banks, in 1816, doubled the quantity of the circulating medium by their excessive issues, they produced a general degradation of the entire mass of the currency, including gold and silver, proportioned to the redundancy of the issues, and wholly independent of the relative depreciasi tion of bank paper at different places, as compared with specie. The nominal money price of every article was of course one hundred per cent, higher than it would have been, but for the duplication of the quantity of the circulating medium. Money is nothing more nor less than the measure by which the relative value of all articles of merchandise is ascertained. If, when the circulating medium is fifty millions, an article should cost one dollar, it would certainly cost two, if, without any increase of the uses of a circulating medium, its quantity should be increased to one hundred millions. This rise in the price of commodities, or depreciation in the value of money, as compared with them, would not be owing to the want of credit in the bank bills, of which the currency happened to be composed. It would exist, though these bills were of undoubted credit, & convertible into specie at the pleasure of the holder, and would result simply from the redundancy of their It is important to a just understanding of the subject, that the relative depreciation of bank paper at different places, as compared with specie, should not be confounded with this general depreciation of the entire mass of the circulating medium, including specie. Though closely allied, both in their causes and effects, they deserve to be separately considered.

The evils resulting from the relative depreciation of bank paper at different places, are more easily traced to their causes, more palpable in their nature, and consequently more generally understood by the community. Though much less ruinous than the evils resulting from the general depreciation of the whole currency, they are yet of sufficient magnitude to demand a full

exposition.

A very serious evil, already hinted at, which grew out of the relative depreciation of bank paper, at the different points of importation, was its inevitable tendency to draw all the importations of foreign merchandise to the cities where the depreciation was greatest, and divert them from those where the currency was comparatively sound. If the bank of the United States had not been established, and the government had been left without any alternative but to receive the depreciated local currency, it is difficult to imagine the extent to which the evasion of the revenue laws would have been carried. Every state would have had an interest to encourage the excessive issues of its banks and increase the degradation of its currency, with a view to attract foreign commerce. Even in the condition which the currency had reached in 1816, Boston, and New York, and Charleston, would have found it advantageous to derive their supplies of foreign merchandise through Baltimore; and commerce would undoubtedly have taken that direction had not the currency been corrected. To avoid this injurious diversion of foreign imports, Massachusetts, and New York, and South Carolina, would have been driven, by all motives of self-defence and self-interest, to degrade their respective currencies at least to a par with the currency of Baltimore; and thus a rivalry in the career of depreciation would have spring up, to which no limit can be assigned. As the tendency of this state of

things would have been to cause the largest portion of the revenue to be collected at a few places, and in the most depreciated of the local currency, it would have followed that a very small part of that revenue would have been disbursed at the points where it was collected. The government would consequently have been compelled to sustain a heavy loss upon the transfer of its funds to the points of expenditure. The annual loss which would have resulted from these causes alone, cannot be estimated at a less sum than two millions of dollars.

But the principal loss which resulted from the relative depreciation of bad paper at different places, and its want of general credit, was that sustained by the community in the great operations of commercial exchange. The extent of these operations annually, may be safely estimated at sixty millions of dollars. Upon this sum, the loss sustained by the merchants, and planters and firmers, and manufacturers, was not probably less than an average of 10 percent, being the excess of the rate of exchange beyond its natural rate in a sound state of the currency, and beyond the rate to which it has been actually reduced by the operations of the Bank of the United States.-It will be thus perceived that an annual tax of six millions of dollars was levied from the industrious and productive classes, by the large monied capitalists in our commercial cities, who were engaged in the business of brokerage. A variously depreciated currency, and a fluctuating state of the exchanges. open a wide and abundant harvest to the money brokers; and it is not therefore, surprising, that they should be opposed to an institution, which, at the same time that it has relieved the community from the enormous tax just stated, has deprived them of the enormous profits which they derived from speculating in the business of exchange. In addition to the losses sustained by the community, in the great operations of exchange, extensive losses were suffered throughout the interior of the country, in all the smaller operations of trade, as well as by the failure of the numerous paper banks, puffed into a factitious credit by fraudulent artifices, and having no substantial basis of capital to insure the redemption of their bills.

But no adequate conception can be formed of the evils of a depreciated surrency, without looking beyond the relative depreciation, at different places, to the general depreciation of the entire mass. It appears from the report of Mr. Crawford, the Secretary of the Treasury in 1820, that during the general suspension of specie payments, by the local banks, in the years 1815 and 1816, the circulating medium of the United States had reached the aggregate amount of one hundred and ten millions of dollars, and that, in the year 1819, it had been reduced to forty-five millions of dollars, being a reduction of fifty-nine percent, in the short period of four years. The committee are inclined to the opinion, that the severe and distressing operation of restoring a vicious currency to a sound state, by the calling in of bank paper, and the curtailment of bank discounts, had carried the reduction of the currency, in 1819, to a point somewhat lower than was consistent with the just requirements of the community for a circulating medium, and that the bank discounts have been gradually enlarged since that time, so as to satisfy those requirements. It will be assumed, therefore, that the circulating medium of the United States has been fifty-five millions of dollars for

the last ten years, taking the average.

Even upon this assumption it will follow, that the national currency has been one hundred per cent. more valuable for the last ten years, than it was in 1816. In other words, two dollars would purchase no more of any com-

modity in 1816, than one dollar has been capable of purchasing at any time since 1819. It is obvious, therefore, that the depreciation of the paper of particular banks, at any particular time, as compared with specie, furnishes no criterion by which to ascertain the general depreciation of the whole currency, including specie, as compared with the value of that currency at a different period. A specie dollar in 1816, would purchase no more than half as much as a paper dollar will purchase at present.

Having endeavoured to explain, thus briefly, the general depreciation resulting from a redundant currency, the committee will now proceed to point out some of the injurious consequences which have resulted from those great changes in the standard of value, which have been unavoidably pro-

duced by the correction of the redundancy.

An individual who borrowed a sum of money in 1816, and paid it in 1820, evidently returned to the lender double the value received from him; and one who paid a debt in 1820, which he had contracted in 1816, as evidently paid double the value he had stipulated to pay, though nominally the same amount in money. It is in this way that fluctuations in the quantity and value of the currency interfere, in the most unjust and injurious manner, between debtor and creditor.

And when banks have the power of suspending specie payments, and of arbitrarily contracting and expanding their issues, without any general control, they exercise a more dangerous and despotic power over the property of the community, than was ever exercised by the most absolute government. In such a state of things, every man in the community holds his property at the mercy of money making corporations, which have a decided

interest to abuse their power.

By a course of liberal discounts, and excessive issues for a few years, followed by a sudden calling in of their debts and contraction of their issues, they would have the power of transferring the property of their debtors to themselves, almost without limit. Debts contracted, when their discounts were liberal, and the currency of course depreciated, would be collected when their discounts were almost suspended, and the currency of course unnaturally appreciated; and in this way the property of the community might pass under the hammer, from its rightful owners to the banks, for less than one half its intrinsic value. If the committee have not greatly mistaken the matter, there is more of history than of speculation in what they have here presented to the consideration of the House.

It is impossible to form any thing like an accurate estimate of the injuries and losses sustained by the community, in various ways, by the disorders and fluctuations of the currency, in the period which intervened between the expiration of the old bank charter, and the establishment of the present bank. But some tolerable notion may be formed of the losses sustained by the

government, in its fiscal operations, during the war.

The committee have given this part of the subject an attentive and careful examination, and they cannot estimate the pecuniary losses of the government, sustained exclusively for the want of a sound currency, and an efficient system of finance, at a sum less than forty-six millions of dollars. If they shall make this apparent, the House will have something like a standard for estimating the individual losses of the community.

The government borrowed, during the short period of the war, eighty millions of dollars, at an average discount of fifteen per cent. giving certificates of stock, amounting to eighty millions of dollars, in exchange for sixty

eight millions of dollars, in such bank paper as could be obtained. In this statement, treasury notes are considered as stock, at twenty per cent, discount. Upon the very face of the transaction, therefore, there was a loss of twelve millions of dollars, which would, in all probability, have been saved. if the Treasury had been aided by such an institution as the Bank of the United States. But the sum of sixty-eight millions of dollars, received by the government, was in a depreciated currency, not more than half as valuable as that in which the stock given in exchange for it, has been and will be redeemed. Here, then, is another loss of thirty-four millions, resulting, incontestibly and exclusively, from the depreciation of the currency, and making, with the sum lost by the discount, forty-six millions of dollars. While, then, the government sustained this great pecuniary loss in less than three years of war, amounting annually to more than the current expenses of the government in time of peace, it is worth while to inquire, who were the persons who profited to this enormous amount by the derangement of the currency? It will be found that the whole benefit of this speculation upon the necessities of the government was realized by stockjobbers and money brokers, the very same class of persons who profited so largely by the business of commercial exchanges, in consequence of the disorders of the currency, and who have the same interest in the recurrence of those disorders as lawyers have in litigation, or physicians in the diseases of the human frame. Having presented these general views of the evils which existed previous to the establishment of the Bank of the United States, it remains for the committee to enquire how far this institution has effected a remedy of those evils.

The first great question which arises under this branch of the inquiry is, whether or no the bank has corrected the disorders of the circulating medium, by providing a paper currency, convertible into specie at the pleasure of the holder, and of equal value with specie at all points of the Union?

The Chief Magistrate, in that part of his first message which relates to the Bank of the United States, expresses the opinion, that "it has failed in the great end of establishing a uniform and sound currency." After giving to this opinion all the consideration to which it is so justly entitled, from the eminent station and high character of the citizen by whom it is entertained, the committee are constrained to express their respectful but decided dissent from it. It is true, that the bank does not, in all cases, redeem the bills issued by any one of its branches, indiscriminately at all the other branches; and it is in reference to this fact, as the committee presume, that the President expresses the opinion that the institution has failed to establish "a uniform and sound currency."

It is confidently believed, that no one of the persons who were principally instrumental in establishing the bank, ever entertained an idea that it would attempt to redeem its bills at any of its offices, other than those by which they should be respectively issued. The charter certainly contains no such requirement, and it would have been highly inexpedient if it had, to say nothing of its obvious injustice. The inevitable effect of such a requirement, would have been to compel the bank to perform the whole of the commercial exchanges of the country, without any compensation. It would not be more unjust to require a Rail Road Company to transport all the productions of the country without compensation. No institution could stand such an operation; and it was the injudicious attempt of the first direction of the bank to do it, that principally contributed to the embarrass-

ments of 1819. A committee was appointed by the House of Representatives, in that year, to investigate the management of the bank; and in the report of that committee, as well as in the discussions to which it gave rise in the House, this attempt of the direction to redeem the bills of the institution, indiscriminately, at all its branches, was indicated as one of the causes of the existing embarrassment. No one who participated in the debate, pretended to allege that the bank was bound to redeem its bills indiscriminately, or that it was expedient that it should do so. The most that any one did, was to apologise for the unwise attempt.

But it yet remains for the committee to show that this indiscriminate redeemability of the bills of all the branches of the bank, is not necessary to

"the establishment of a uniform and sound currency."

Human wisdom has never effected, in any other country, a nearer approach to uniformity in the currency, than that which is made by the use of the precious metals. If, therefore, it can be shown that the bills of the United States Bank, are of equal value with silver at all points of the Union, it would seem that the proposition is clearly made out, that the bank has accomplished "the great end of establishing a uniform and sound currency." It is not denied that the bills of the mother bank, and of all its branches, are invariably and promptly redeemed in specie, whenever presented at the offices by which they have been respectively issued, and at which, upon their face, they purport to be payable. Nor is it denied that the bills of the bank, and of all the branches, are equal to specie in their respective spheres of circulation. Bills, for example, issued by the mother bank, are admitted to be equal to silver in Pennsylvania, and all those parts of the adjacent states of which Philadelphia is the market. But it is contended that these bills, not being redeemable at Charleston and New Orleans, are not of equal value with silver to the merchant who wishes to purchase cotton with them, in those cities. Now, if the Philadelphia merchant had silver, instead of bank bills, he certainly could not effect his purchases with it in Charleston or New Orleans, without having the silver conveyed to those places; and it is equally certain that he could not have it conveyed there, without paying for its transportation and insurance. These expenses constitute the natural rate of exchange between those cities, and indicate the exact sum which the merchant would give as a premium for a bill of exchange, to avoid the trouble and delay of transporting his specie. It is obvious, therefore, that even for these distant operations of commerce, silver would be no more valuable than the bills of the bank; for these would purchase a bill of exchange on either of the cities mentioned, precisely as well as silver. If the operation should be reversed, and the planter of Louisiana or South Carolina should desire to place his funds in Philadelphia with a view to purchase merchandise, he would find the bills of the branch bank in either of those States, entirely equivalent to silver in effecting his object. Even, therefore, if the bank had not reduced the rate of the exchanges, it might be safely asserted, that its bills would be of equal value with silver at every point in the Union, and for every purpose, whether local or general.

But it is impossible to exhibit any thing like a just view of the beneficial operations of the bank, without adverting to the great reduction it has effected, and the steadiness it has superinduced, in the rate of the commercial exchanges of the country. Though this branch of the business of the bank has been the subject of more complaint, perhaps, than any other, the

Committee have no hesitation in saying, it has been productive of the most signal benefits to the community, and deserves the highest commendation. It has been already stated that it has saved the community from the immense losses resulting from a high and fluctuating state of the exchanges. It now remains to show its effect in equalizing the currency. In this respect, it has been productive of results more salutary than were anticipated by the most sanguine advocates of the policy of establishing the bank. has actually furnished a circulating medium more uniform than specie.— This proposition is susceptible of the clearest demonstration. If the whole circulating medium were specie, a planter of Louisiana, who should desire to purchase merchandise in Philadelphia, would be obliged to pay one per cent. either for a bill of exchange on this latter place, or for the transportation and insurance of his specie. His specie at New Orleans, where he had no present use for it, would be worth one per cent, less to him than it would be in Philadelphia, where he had a demand for it. But, by the aid of the bank of the United States, one half of the expense of transporting specie is The bank, for one half of one per cent. will give him a now saved to him, draft upon the mother bank of Philadelphia, with which he can draw either the bills of that bank, or specie, at his pleasure. In like manner, the bank and its branches will give draughts from any point of the Union to any other where offices exist, at a per centage greatly less than it would cost to transport specie, and in many instances at par. If the merchant or planter, however, does not choose to purchase a draught from the bank, but prefers transmitting the bills of the office where he resides to any distant point, for commercial purposes, although these bills are not strictly redeemable at the point to which they are transmitted, yet, as they are receivable in payment of all dues to the government, persons will be generally found willing to take them at par; and if they should not, the bank will receive them frequently at par; and always at a discount much less than would pay the expense of transporting specie. The fact that the bills of the bank and its branches are indiscriminately receivable at the custom-houses and land offices, in payment of duties, and for the public lands, has an effect in giving uniformity to the value of these bills, which merits a more full and distinct explanation.

For all the purposes of the revenue, it gives to the national currency that perfect uniformity, that ideal perfection, to which a currency of gold and silver, in so extensive a country, could have no pretensions. A bill issued at Missouri is of equal value with specie at Boston, in payment of duties; and the same is true of all other places, however distant, where the bank issues bills, and the government collects its revenue. When it is, moreover, considered, that the bank performs with the most scrupulous punctuality, the stipulation to transfer the funds of the government to any point where they may be wanted, free of expense, it must be apparent that the committee are correct, to the very letter, in stating that the bank has furnished, both to the government and to the people, a currency of absolutely uniform value in all places, for all the purposes of paying the public contributions, and disbursing the public revenue. And when it is recollected that the government annually collects and disburses more than twentythree millions of dollars, those who are at all familiar with the subject will at once perceive that bills which are of absolutely uniform value for this vast operation, must be very nearly so for all the purposes of general com-

merce.

Upon the whole, then, it may be confidently asserted, that no country in the world has a circulating medium of greater uniformity than the United States; and that no country of any thing like the same geographical extent has a currency at all comparable to that of the United States on the score of The committee have seen the statement of an intelligent travuniformity. eller, who has visited almost every part of Europe, exhibiting the great variaations of the currency in different parts of the same empire or kingdom. In Russia, the bills of the bank of St. Petersburgh have a very limited circulation. At Riga, and throughout Courland, Livonia, and all the Southern . parts of the empire, the currency is exclusively of silver coins. In Denmark, the notes of the bank of Copenhagen are current only in Zealand, the other islands, and Jutland, but will not pass at all in Sleswick and Holstein, which constitute the best portion of the kingdom., Since the Congress of Vienna, Germany is divided into thirty-nine separate States, each having a distinct currency, though represented in the Diet at Frankfort. Out of the territory in which these several currencies are issued, they are mere articles of merchandise; which circumstance has given rise in every town to a numerous and distinct class of tradesmen, called money changers. How far those separate and unconnected currencies have a tendency to embarrass commerce, may be inferred from the fact, that a traveller going from St. Petersburgh to Calais will lose upon the unavoidable changes of money an average of six per cent. In France, the bills of the bank are of such large denominations as to be adapted only to the greater operations of commerce, and are principally confined to the Bankers and extensive traders in Paris .-The general currency is silver; and to avoid the trouble of carrying this to distant parts of the kingdom, gold pieces, or bills of exchange, which are preferable, are purchased at a premium of from one and a half to four per cent. After this brief review of the currencies of Europe, the committee will barely state, as a conclusive vindication of our currency from the imputation of unsoundness, that there is no point in the Union, at which a bill of the United States Bank, issued at the opposite extremity of the country, is at a discount of more than one-fourth of one per cent.

In confirmation of the views here presented, as to the comparative uniformity of the currency furnished by the bank, and, also, as to the obligation of the bank to redeem its bills, indiscriminately, at all the offices, the committee will present a few brief extracts from the speech of a statesman, whose opinions have every title to authority on these important subjects.—Mr. Lowndes, in discussing the question, how far the bank had performed the great duty for which it was created, used the following decided language in 1819, when the currency had not reached the point of uniformity, it

has now attained by one half of one per cent.

"The great object of the government in chartering the bank, was to provide a currency which should have that degree of stability and uniformity in its value which is required by the interests both of our commerce and resenue. A currency, equally valuable at every place and every time, cannot be provided by human wisdom. The nearest approach to this object has been generally supposed to be afforded by the employment of gold and silver as the measures of value. The 14th Congress did not aim at ideal perfection; they wished to combine with the conveniences of bank circulation an uniformity of value equal to that which was possessed by the precious metals; and the means which they employed to secure this uniformity were simple and effectual, by enjoining, under a heavy penalty, the payment of all

its notes in coin upon demand. In the report, indeed, the notes of the national bank are said to be now 'on the same footing with those of local banks.' Of the footing on which local bank notes stood, he should speak hereafter; but the price current upon his table informed him, that the greatest discount on branch notes of the United States, was three fourths of one per This was a value much more uniform than that which coin could be expected to have in so extensive a country. He had been lately looking into a book on political economy, which had been published here, with high, and in respect to its clearness and precision, with just commendations-the work of Mr. Tracy. He inferred from one of his chapters, that the difference of exchange between Marseilles and Paris was often from two to three per cent. If, with all the facilities afforded by the internal improvements in which France is so rich, with a currency consisting almost exclusively of gold and silver, the variation in the value of money is three times greater in her territory than on our continent, can it be said, that, in this respect, the bank has not fulfilled the objects of its institution? Before its establishment, the value of bank notes, even in the commercial States, had varied twenty per cent. from each other; and, as none of them bore a fixed proportion to the precious metals, or to any natural standard, it was impossible to assign any limit to their depreciation. You have required that the currency furnished by the national bank should be every where convertible into silver, and it is so. You have expected that it should be as uniform as coin. and it is more so. He would not detain the committee by reading a paper, which he had prepared with that intention, containing the state of exchange. since the establishment of the bank, with England, France, and Holland. for he found himself occupying much more of their time than he had expected. But he believed that any member, who should turn his attention to the subject, would remark its steadiness during that period. He thought himself justified in drawing from this fact a conclusion highly favorable to the bank."

In reference to the great depreciation of the paper of the local banks, pre-

vious to the establishment of that of the United States, he said:

"Did the interests or duty of the government of the United States permit that this currency should be received by it? Some dissatisfaction was expressed because the branch notes of the United States Bank were at a discount of three fourths of one per cent. He read from a price current the state of the market for bank notes, by which it appeared that notes, which were insisted to be in very good credit, varied from a discount of two and a half to one of seven, fifteen, twenty-five, and even thirty per cent. Was our revenue to be received in these notes? How were they to be employed?—They might be expended in the district in which they were issued. But was the expenditure of every district to be exactly limited to its revenue?—What became of the Union if it were so? He spoke of the thing, and not the name. Our Union might dissolve in imbecility as well as to be destroyed by violence. Did not union imply, that the resources of one state, its money as well as its men, might be employed for the defence of another?

But, if the government were willing to bear the loss of a depreciated and unequal currency, if must neglect the plainest principle of the Constitution in doing so—equality of taxation. The committee must well remember, that, before the establishment of the national bank, such was the unequal value of currency in the different states, that the merchants paid duties, vary-

ing fifteen percent. from each other on the same articles."

On the question, whether the bank was bound to redeem, indiscriminate-

ly, the bills of all its branches, he said:

" He should not argue that the bank was not bound to pay its notes, indiscriminately, at all its offices. He believed that nobody now contended that it was." " It was no unfair account of the practical operation of the system of which he was speaking, to say that it gave to the branches where the exchange was unfavorable, the entire disposition of the specie of those branches where the exchange was favorable. Upwards of six millions of specie have been sent to the branch of New York, besides the amount which has been paid by the subscribers of the bank there; but, in issuing notes which the bank of New York has been obliged to redeem, every branch throughout the country has drawn upon a fund, with whose condition at the time it could not be acquainted." "Such a system might be expected to produce inconvenient changes in the distribution of bank capital, an extreme facility of obtaining loans at one time, and expected contractions of discount at another." "Whenever the state of exchange is unfavorable, whenever the just principles of banking require a reduction of discounts, then, under this system of indiscriminate payment of its notes, the bank has nothing to fear from a draught of specie, and is encouraged to lend to every applicant. Wherever the exchange is favorable, and on the sound principles of banking, an enlarged accommodation might be given to the community—there the flow of notes from every state whose exchange is unfavorable, contracts or suspends all the operations of the bank. Thus, wherever discounts should be enlarged, the tendency of this system is to reduce them, and to enlarge them wherever they should be reduced."

Independently of the gross injustice of requiring the bank to perform all the exchanges of this extensive confederacy without any compensation, these enlightened views show most conclusively its inexpediency and injustice, as it regards the different sections of the Union. It would inevitably render those parts of the Union where the bank issues were prudent and moderate, tributary to those where the issues were injudicious and excessive. In this way, the very inequality in the currency, which the bank was designed to correct, would be perpetuated by the vain attempt to make it perform impossibilities. The power of annihilating space, of transporting money or any other article to the most distant points, without the loss of time or

the application of labor, belongs to no human institution.

But the salutary agency of the Bank of the United States, in furnishing a sound and uniform currency, is not confined to that portion of the currency which consists of its own bills. One of the most important purposes which the bank was designed to accomplish, and which, it is confidently believed, no other human agency could have effected, under our federative system of government, was the enforcement of specie payments on the part of numerous local banks, deriving their charters from the several states, and whose paper, irredeemable in specie, and illimitable in its quantity, constituted the almost entire currency of the country. Amidst a combination of the greatest difficulties, the bank has almost completely succeeded in the performance of this arduous, delicate, and painful duty. With exceptions, too inconsiderable to merit notice, all the State banks in the Union have resumed specie payments. Their bills, in the respective spheres of their circulation, are of equal value with gold and silver; while, for all the operations of commerce, beyond that sphere, the bills or the checks of the

bank of the United States are even more valuable than specie. And even in the very few instances in which the paper of state banks is depreciated, those banks are winding up their concerns; and it may be safely said, that no citizen of the Union is under the necessity of taking depreciated paper, because a sound currency cannot be obtained. North Carolina is believed to be the only state where paper of the local banks is irredeemable in specie, and consequently depreciated. Even there, the depreciation is only one or two per cent., and what is more important, the paper of the Bank of the United States can be obtained by all those who desire it, and have an equivalent to give for it. The committee are aware, that the opinion is entertained by some, that the local banks would, at some time or other, either voluntarily, or by the coercion of the state legislatures, have resumed specie payments. In the very nature of things this would seem to be an impossibility. It must be remembered, that no banks ever made such large dividends as were realized by the local institutions, during the suspension of specie payments. A rich and abundant harvest of profit was opened to them, which the resumption of specie payments must inevitably blast.— While permitted to give their own notes, bearing no interest, and not redeemable in specie, in exchange for better notes bearing interest, it is obvious, that the more paper they issued, the higher would be their profits. most powerful motive that can operate upon monied corporations, would have existed, to prevent the state banks from putting an end to the very state of things, from which their excessive profits proceeded. Their very nature must have been changed, therefore, before they could have been induced to co-operate, voluntarily, in the restoration of the currency. It is quite as improbable that the state legislatures would have compelled the banks to do their duty. It has already been stated that the tendency of a depreciated currency to attract importations to the points of greatest depreciation, and to lighten the relative burthens of federal taxation, would naturally produce, among the states, a rivalry in the business of excessive bank issues. But there remains to be stated a cause, of more general operation, which would have prevented the interposition of the state legislatures to correct those issues.

The banks were, directly and indirectly, the creditors of the whole community, and the resumption of specie payments necessarily involved a general curtailment of discounts, and withdrawal of credit, which would produce a general and distressing pressure upon the entire class of debtors.— These constituted the largest portion of the population of all the states where specie payments were suspended, and bank issues excessive. Those, therefore, who controlled public opinion in the states, where the depreciation of the local paper was greatest, were interested in the perpetuation of the evil. Deep and deleterious, therefore, as the disease evidently was, in many of the states, their Legislatures could not have been expected to apply a remedy, so painful as the compulsion of specie payments would have been, without the aid of the Bank of the United States. And here it is worthy of special remark, that, while that bank has compelled the local banks to resume specie payments, it has most materially contributed, by its direct aid and liberal arrangements, to enable them to do so, and that with the least possible embarrassment to themselves, and distress to the community. the State Legislatures had been ever so anxious to compel the banks to resume specie payments, and the banks ever so willing to make the effort, the committee are decidedly of the opinion that they could not have done it.

unaided by the Bank of the United States, without producing a degree of distress incomparably greater than has been actually experienced. will conclude their remarks on this branch of the subject by the obvious reflection, that, if Congress, at the close of the war, had left it to the states to restore the disordered currency, this important function of sovereignty would have been left with those from whom the Constitution has expressly taken it, and by whom it could not be beneficially or effectually exercised. But another idea, of considerable plausibility, is not without its advocates. It is said that this government, by making the resumption and continuance of specie payments the condition upon which the state banks should receive the government deposites, might have restored the currency to a state of uniformity. Without stopping to give their reasons for believing that specie payments could not have been restored in this way, and that, even if they could, a uniform currency of general credit, throughout the Union, would not have been provided, the committee will proceed to give their reasons for thinking that such a connexion between the Federal Government and the State banks would be exceedingly dangerous to the purity of both.— While there is a National Bank, bound by its charter to perform certain stipulated duties, and entitled to receive the government deposites as a compensation, fixed by the law creating the charter, and only to be forfeited by the failure to perform those duties, there is nothing in the connexion at all inconsistent with the independence of the bank, and the purity of the gov. The country has a deep interest that the bank should maintain specie payments; and the government an additional interest that it should keep the public funds safely, and transfer them, free of expense, wherever they may be wanted. The government, therefore, has no power over the bank, but the salutary power of enforcing a compliance with the terms of its charter. Every thing is fixed by the law, and nothing left to arbitrary It is true that the Secretary of the Treasury, with the sanction of Congress, would have the power to prevent the bank from using its power unjustly and oppressively, and to punish any attempt, on the part of the Directors, to bring the pecuniary influence of the institution to bear upon the politics of the country, by withdrawing the government deposites from the offending branches. But this power would not be lightly exercised by the treasury, as its exercise would necessarily be subject to be reviewed by Congress. It is, in its nature, a salutary corrective, creating no undue dependence on the part of the bank.

But the state of things would be widely different, if there was no National bank, and it was left to the discretion of the Secretary of the Treasury to select the local banks in which the government deposites should be made.— All the State banks would, in that case, be competitors for the favor of the treasury; and no one, who will duly consider the nature of this sort of patronage, can fail to perceive, that, in the hands of an ambitious man, not possessed of perfect purity and unbending integrity, it would be imminently dangerous to the public liberty. The State banks would enter the lists of political controversy, with a view to obtain this patronage; and very little sagacity is required to foresee, that, if there should ever happen to be an administration disposed to use its patronage to perpetuate its power, the public funds would be put in jeopardy by being deposited in banks unworthy of confidence, and the most extensive corruption brought to bear upon the elections throughout the Union. A state of things more adverse to the purity of the government—a power more liable to be abused—can scarcely

be imagined. If five millions of dollars were annually placed in the hands of the Secretary of the Treasury, to be distributed at his discretion, for the purpose of internal improvement, it would not invest him with a more dan-

gerous and corrupting power.

In connexion with this branch of the subject, the committee will briefly examine the grounds of a complaint, sometimes made against the bank of the United States. It is alleged that this bank, availing itself of the government deposites, consisting in some places principally of local paper, makes heavy and oppressive draughts on the local banks for specie, and thus compels them to curtail their discounts, to the great injury of the community. In the first place, it is to be remarked, that one of the highest duties of the bank-the great object for which it was established-was to prevent the excessive issues of local paper; and this duty can only be performed, by enforcing upon the State banks the payment of specie for any excess in their issues. But the committee are induced to believe, that this complaint is principally owing, so far as it now exists, to the fact, that the operations of the Federal Treasury are mistaken for the operations of the bank, because the bank is the agent by whom those operations are performed .-This institution receives the government deposites in the paper of the local banks, certainly in no spirit of hostility to those banks. On the contrary, it tends to give them credit, and is designed to have that effect. But the bank of the United States is not only bound to pay in specie, or its own bills, what it receives for the government in local paper, but to transfer the funds to any part of the Union, where they may be required for disbursement .-Let it be assumed, that the government collects annually, at the custom house in Charleston, one million of dollars in local bank notes, and disburses in South Carolina only one hundred thousand, it would result from this that the government would have nine hundred thousand dollars of local bank paper deposited in the Charleston branch, which the bank would be bound by its charter, and for the national benefit, to transfer perhaps to Washington or Norfolk. As this paper would not answer the purposes of the government at those places, the bank would be, of course, compelled to provide specie, or bills that will command specie at those places. It is obvious, then, that it is the inequality in the collection and disbursement of the revenue, that produces the evil in question. If all the revenue collected in Charleston were disbursed in the State, no draughts would be made upon the local banks for specie. The bank of the United States, so far from being justly obnoxious to any complaint on this score, has greatly mitigated the action of the Treasury upon the local banks, by means of the liberal arrangements which its large capital and numerous branches have enabled it to make with them. The degree in which that institution has reduced the rate of exchange, may be fairly assumed as that in which it has mitigated the action of the Treasury upon the State banks. If, for example, there existed no national bank, and the deposites of the revenue collected in Charleston were made in one of the local banks, what would be the effect of transferring, annually, nine hundred thousand dollars to Washington or Norfolk? The local banks, having no branches at either of those places, instead of transmitting draughts, as is now generally done, would be compelled to transmit specie. The bank in which the government deposites were made, would consequently be under the necessity of demanding specie from all the other banks, in a manner, and to an extent, much more oppressive than any thing that can be imputed to the bank of the United

States. If, to avoid these specie draughts, the local banks should purchase bills on Washington or Norfolk, they would probably cost five or six por cent. even in a tolerable state of the currency, which would be a loss to the

banks almost to the full extent of the premium.

Although the expediency of renewing the charter of the present bank is not a question now submitted for the decision of Congress, the Committee consider it so far involved in the matter referred to them, as to render it their duty to present some considerations bearing on that question, in addition to what they have said on the general expediency of maintaining such an institution. If a national bank, similar to the present, be a necessary and proper agent for the accomplishment of the great purposes heretofore indicated, the only remaining question would seem to be, whether the charter of the present stockholders should be renewed, or a new set of stockholders incorporated.

In considering this question, Congress will, of course, be governed in some degree, by the terms on which the present stockholders will agree to accept a renewal of their charter. But, as the committee have satisfactory reasons for believing that terms eminently advantageous to the government can be obtained, they will proceed to some other inquiries. What then would be the effect of refusing to renew the present charter? And, in the

first place, what are the inducements for pursuing that course?

It is sometimes alleged that the present stockholders are large capitalists, and, as the stock of the bank is some 20 per cent; above par, that a renewal of the charter would be equivalent to a grant to them of 20 per cent. upon their capital. It is true that a small proportion of the capital of the company belongs to very wealthy men. Something more than two millions of that owned in the United States belongs to persons holding upwards of one hundred thousand dollars each. It is also true that foreigners own seven millions, or one fifth of the capital. But, on the other hand, it is to be remarked, that the government, in trust for the peeple of the United States, holds seven millions; and that persons owning less than five thousand dollars each, hold four millions six hundred and eighty-two thousand; and that persons owning between five and ten thousand dollars each, hold upwards of three millions. It is also worthy of remark, that a very considerable portion of the stock—very nearly six millions—is held by females, by trustees and guardians for the use of females and orphan children, and by charitable and other institutions. Of the twenty-eight millions of the stock which is owned by individuals, only three millions four hundred and fifty-three thousand is now held by the original subscribers. All the rest has been purchased at the market prices—a large portion of it, probably, when those prices were higher than at present. Most of the investments made by wills, and deeds, and decrees in equity, for the use of females and minors, are believed to have been made when the stock was From this brief analysis, it will appear that there is greatly above par. nothing in the character or situation of the stockholders, which should make it desirable to deprive them of the advantage which they have fairly gained, by an application of their capital to purposes highly beneficial, as the committee have attempted to show, to the government and people of the United If foreigners own seven millions of the stock of the bank, our own government owns as much; if wealthy men own more than two millions, men in moderate circumstances own between seven and eight millions; and females, orphans, and institutions, devoted to charitable and other purposes, own nearly six millions.

But the objection that the stock is owned by men of large capital, would apply with equal, if not greater force, to any bank that could be organized. In the very nature of things men who have large surplus capitals are the principal subscribers at the first organization of a bank. Farmers and planters, merchants and manufacturers, having an active employment for their capitals, do not choose to be the first adventurers in a bank project. Accordingly, when the present bank went into operation, it is believed that most of the capital was owned by large capitalists, and under a much more unequal distribution than exists at present. The large amount of stock now held in trust for females and minors, has been principally, if not entirely, purchased since the bank went into operation; and the same remark is generally applicable to the stock in the hands of small holders. It is only when the character of a bank is fully established, and when its stock assumes a steady value, that these descriptions of persons make investments in it.

It is morally certain, therefore, that, if another distinct institution were created, on the expiration of the present charter, there would be a much greater portion of its capital subscribed by men of large fortunes, than is now owned by persons of this description, of the stock of the United States Bank. Indeed, it might be confidently predicted, that the large capitalists who now hold stock in that bank, would, from their local position and other advantages, be the first to forestall the subscriptions to the new bank, while the small stockholders, scattered over the country, would be probably excluded, and the females and minors, and others interested in trust investments made by decrees in equity, would be almost necessarily excluded, as the sanction of a court could scarcely be obtained, after the passage of the new

act of incorporation, in time to authorise a subscription.

To destroy the existing bank, therefore, after it has rendered such signal services to the country, merely with a view to incorporate another, would be an act rather of cruelty and caprice, than of justice and wisdom, as it regards the present stockholders. It is no light matter to depreciate the property of individuals, honestly obtained, and usefully employed, to the extent of five millions six hundred thousand dollars, and the property of the government, to the extent of one million four hundred thousand dollars, purely for the sake of change. It would indicate a fondness for experiment, which a wise government will not indulge upon slight considerations.

But the great injury which would result from the refusal of Congress to renew the charter of the present bank, would, beyond all question, be that which would result to the community at large. It would be difficult to estimate the extent of the distress which would naturally and necessarily result from the sudden withdrawal of more than forty millions of credit, which the community now enjoys from the bank. But this would not be the full extent of the operation. The Bank of the United States, in winding up its concerns, would not only withdraw its own paper from circulation, and call in its debts, but would unavoidably make such heavy draughts on the local institutions for specie, as very greatly to curtail their discounts. The pressure upon the active, industrious, and enterprising classes, who depend most upon the facilities of bank credit, would be tremendous. A vast amount of property would change hands at half its value, passing under the hammer, from the merchants, manufacturers, and farmers, to the large monied capitalists, who always stand ready to avail themselves of the pecuniary embarrassments of the community. The largest stockholders of the present bank, the very persons whose present lawful gains it would be the object of some to cut off, having a large surplus money capital thrown upon their hands, would be the very first to speculate upon the distresses of the community, and build up princely fortunes upon the ruins of the industrious and active classes. On the other hand, the females and minors, and persons in moderate circumstances, who hold stock in the institution, would sustain an injury, in no degree mitigated by the general distress of the community.

A very grave and solemn question will be presented to Congress, when they come to decide upon the expediency of renewing the charter of the present bank. That institution has succeeded in carrying the country through the painful process necessary to cure a deep seated disease in the national currency. The nation, after having suffered the almost convulsive agonies of this necessary remedy, is now restored to perfect health. In this state of things it will be for Congress to decide, whether it is the part of wisdom to expose the country to a degree of suffering almost equal to that which it has already suffered, for the purpose of bringing back that very derangement of the currency, which has been remedied by a process, as necessary as it was distressing.

If the bank of the United States were destroyed, and the local institutions left without its restraining influence, the currency would almost certainly relapse into a state of unsoundness. The very pressure which the present bank, in winding up its concerns, would make upon the local institutions, would compel them either to curtail their discounts when most needed, or to suspend specie payments. It is not difficult to predict which of these alternatives they would adopt, under the circumstances in which they would be placed. The imperious wants of a suffering community would call for discounts, in language which could not be disregarded. The public necessities would demand, and public opinion would sanction, the suspension, or at least an evasion, of specie payments.

But, even if this desperate resort could be avoided in a period of peace and general prosperity, neither reason nor experience will permit us to doubt, that a state of war would speedily bring about all the evils which so fatally affected the credit of the government and the national currency, during the late war with Great Britain. We should be again driven to the same miserable round of financial expedients, which, in little more than two years, brought a wealthy community almost to the very brink of a declared national bankruptcy, and placed the government completely at the mercy of specula-

ting stockjobbers.

The committee feel warranted, by the past experience of the country, in expressing it as their deliberate opinion, that, in a period of war, the financial resources of the country could not be drawn into efficient operation without the aid of a national bank, and that the local banks would certainly resort to a suspension of specie payments. The maximis eminently true in modern times that money is the sinew of military power. In this view of the subject, it does appear to the committee, that no one of the institutions of the country, not excepting the army or navy, is of more vital importance than a national bank. It has this decided advantage over the army and navy: while they are of scarcely any value except in war, the bank is not less useful than either of them in war, and is also eminently useful in peace.—
It has another advantage, still greater. If, like the army or navy, it should cost the nation millions annually to sustain it, the expediency of the expenditure might be doubted. But, when it actually saves to the government

and to the country, as the committee have heretofore attempted to show, more millions annually than are expended in supporting both the army and navy, it would seem that, if there was one measure of national policy, upon which all the political parties of the country should be brought to unite, by the impressive lessons of experience, it is that of maintaining a national bank.

It is due to the persons, who, for the last ten years, have been concerned in the administration of the bank, to state, that they have performed the delicate and difficult trust committed to them, in such a manner as, at the same time, to accomplish the great national ends for which it was established, and promote the permanent interest of the stockholders, with the least practicable pressure upon the local banks. As far as the committee are enabled to form an opinion, from careful inquiry, the bank has been liberal and indulgent in its dealings with these institutions, and, with scarcely an exception, now stands in the most amicable relation to them. Some of those institutions have borne the most disinterested and unequire-

ocal testimony in favor of the bank.

It is but strict justice also to remark, that the direction of the mother bank appears to have abstained, with scrupulous care, from bringing the power and influence of the bank to bear upon political questions, and to have selected, for the direction of the various branches, business men in no way connected with party politics. The committee advert to this part of the conduct of the directors, not only with a view to its commendation, but for the purpose of expressing their strong and decided conviction, that the usefulness and stability of such an institution will materially depend upon a steady and undeviating adherence to the policy of excluding party politics and political partizans from all participation in its management. It is gratifying to conclude this branch of the subject by stating, that the affairs of the present bank, under the able, efficient, and faithful guidance, of its two last presidents and their associates, have been brought from a state of great embarrassment into a condition of the highest prosperity. Having succeeded in restoring the paper of the local banks to a sound state, its resources are now such as to justify the directors in extending the issue and circulation of this paper so as to satisfy the wants of the community, both as it regards bank accommodations and a circulating medium. soundest principles of banking, the very ample resources of the institution would justify the directors in granting accommodations to a much greater extent than they have yet done; and though they have increased the circulation of their paper from four and a half to fourteen millions, since January, 1823, they are ready and willing to increase it still further, by discounting bills of exchange and other business paper. It is believed that the discounts and issues of the institution are now actually limited by the want of applications resting upon these, the only substantial and safe foundations of bank credit and circulation.

III. Having said thus much on the constitutionality and expediency of an incorporated National Bank, the only question which remains to be examined by the committee is, the expediency of establishing "a National Bank founded upon the credit of the Government and its rever-

nues."

It is presumed to have been the intention of the President, in suggesting the inquiry as to a bank founded upon the credit and revenues of the Government, to be understood as having allusion to a bank of discount and de-

Such a bank, it is taken for granted, would have branches established in various parts of the Union, similar to those now established by the Bank of the United States, and co-extensive with them. 'The great object of furnishing a national currency could not be accomplished, with an approach to uniformity, without the agency of such branches; and another object, second only in importance to the one just stated, the extension of the commercial facilities of bank accommodations to the different parts of the Union, could not be at all effected without such agency. If there should be simply a great central bank established at the seat of Government, without branches to connect its operations with the various points of the commerce of the Union, the promise to pay specie for its notes, whenever presented, would be almost purely nominal. Of what consequence would it be to a merchant or planter of Louisiana, or a manufacturer or farmer of Maine. that he could obtain specie for bills of the National Bank, on presenting them at the City of Washington—a place wholly unconnected either with Louisiana or Maine by any sort of commercial intercourse, and where, consequently, these bills would never come in the regular course of trade? A promise to pay specie at a place so remote from the place of circulation, and where the bills would never come but at a great expense, and for the sole purpose of being presented for payment, would neither give credit to the notes, nor operate as an effective check upon excessive issues. Whatever credit such notes might have, at a distance from the place of issue, would not be because they were redeemable at the pleasure of the holder-for such would not be the fact; but principally because of the ultimate responsibility of the Government, and of their being receivable in payment of all dues to the Treasury. They would rest, therefore, upon almost precisely the same basis of credit as the paper money of our Revolution, the assignats of Revolutionary France, and the Treasury notes of the late war .-These were receivable in discharge of debts due to the Treasury, and Government was of course ultimately responsible for their payment; yet the two former depreciated almost to nothing, and the latter, though bearing interest, sunk to twenty per cent. below par. But the notes of a central Government Bank, without branches, would be subject to depreciation from a cause which constitutes a conclusive objection to such an institution.-There would be nothing to limit excessive issues but the discretion and prudence of the Government or of the direction. Human wisdom has never devised any adequate security against the excessive issues, and, consequently, the depreciation of bank paper, but its actual, and easy, and prompt convertibility into specie at the pleasure of the holder. Experience has shown that, where the paper of a bank is, by any means, habitually circulated at places remote from the point where it is issued, and not connected with it by a regular commercial intercourse, there will not exist that easy and prompt convertibility which is so essential to the credit of bank paper. When bank bills are confined to their appropriate sphere of circulation, a redundant issue is certainly and immediately followed by a run upon the, bank for specie. This timely admonition is as useful to the bank as it is to the community, for it enables the directors to avoid, with unfailing certainty, an excess equally injurious to both, and which no human sagacity could anticipate or prevent, by calculation merely. Whatever, therefore, in a system of bank circulation, prevents the reflux of redundant issues, necessarily destroys the only adequate security against these injurious and ruinous excesses,

But a Government Bank without branches, would be obnoxious to another objection which could not be obviated. Its loans would be confined to the District of Columbia; or, it extended to the various parts of the Union, to say nothing of the inconvenience to which it would expose those at a distance who obtained accommodations—they would be unavoidably granted without any knowledge of the circumstances of the persons upon whose credit the Government would depend for re-payment. It would, in fact, be, for all useful purposes, a mere District Bank.

These views of the subject have brought the committee to the conclusion, that, if a Government Bank should be established, it would have at least as many branches as the Bank of the United States, and probably a much greater number. Few administrations would have the firmness to resist an application to establish a branch, coming from any quarter of the Union, however injudicious the location might be, upon correct principles

of commerce and banking.

The Bank of the United States now employs five hundred agents, in the various parts of the Union where its offices are established. From this fact some idea may be formed of the very great addition which would be made to the patronage of the Executive Government by the establishment of such

a bank as the one under consideration.

But the patronage resulting from the appointment—the annual appointment-of these agents, great as it would doubtless be, would be insignificant and harmless, when compared with that which would result from the dispensation of bank accommodations to the standing amount of at least fifty millions of dollars! The mind almost instinctively shrinks from the contemplation of an idea so ominous to the purity of the Government and the liberties of the people. No government of which the committee have any knowledge, except perhaps, the despotism of Russia, was ever invested with a patronage at once so prodigious in its influence and so dange: rous in its character. In the most desperate financial extremities, no other European Government has ever ventured upon an experiment so perilous. If the whole patronage of the English monarchy were concentrated in the hands of the American Executive, it may be well doubted whether the public liberty would be so much endangered by it as it would by this vast pecuniary machine, which would place in the hands of every administration fifty millions of dollars, as a fund for rewarding political partizans.

Without assuming that a corrupt use would be made of this new species of government patronage, a very slight acquaintance with the practice of all political parties, whatever may be their professions, will be sufficient to satisfy any reflecting mind, that all the evil consequences of corruption would flow from its exercise. Have not our political contests too frequently degenerated into a selfish scramble for the offices of the country? not those who sincerely and honestly believe that these offices are legitimate . objects of political welfare, and the rightful reward of the victorious party? And disinterested and patriotic as the great body of every political party is admitted to be, the fact is no less true than it is lamentable, that the most devoted and active partizans are very often mere soldiers of fortune, who watch the political signs, and enlist, at the eleventh hour, under the banners of the party most likely to prove successful. Such being, more or less, the composition of all political parties, what would be the probable use made of fifty millions of bank patronage, by a political party which conscientiously held the doctrine that all the offices in the gift of the executive

should be divided among the partizans of a successful political leader?—Would not the same principle be even more applicable to bank loans? And would not the Treasury of the United States, under the sanctifying influence of party delusion and party infatuation, be literally plundered, by mercenary retainers, bankrupts in fortune, and adventurers in politics?

Even if the administration should be ever so much disposed to restrain the abuse of this patronage, it would be utterly impracticable to exercise any efficient control over the great number of bank directors who would be scattered over the Union, and who, upon all the known principles of human nature, it may be confidently predicted, would principally consist of busy

and officious political partizans.

Such would be the depositaries—acting, not under the public eye, but under the protecting mystery of a sort of concealment and secrecy deemed indispensable in banking operations—to whom not only the whole Treasury of the Union would be confided, to be squandered, perhaps, in profligate favoritism, but the tremendous power of putting the whole property of the nation under mortgage, for the redemption of the bills issued at their discretion. To say nothing of the utter insecurity of the public revenues under such a system, a new species of legislative power, unknown to the Constitution, would be committed to these irresponsible bank directors, of which no

human sagacity can predict the consequences.

A just analysis of the operation of granting loans by this government bank, in exchange for the notes of private individuals, will show, that it involves the exercise, on the part of the directors, of the two fold power of appropriating the public revenue in the most dangerous of all forms-discretionary loans—and of pledging the responsibility of the Government to an unlimited extent, for the payment of the debts at the same time created against it. These are among the highest functions of legislative power, and have been expressly and exclusively vested in Congress. Unless, therefore, it be assumed, that Congress may rightfully transfer the powers with which it is invested to these bank directors, it will be difficult to find any warrant, either in the letter or spirit of the Constitution, for the creation of this tremendous engine of pecuniary influence. It may, indeed, be doubted, whether all the branches of the legislative authority united, have any constitutional power to lend the public revenue, either to individuals, corporations or states, without reference to the objects to which it shall be But, whatever may be the power of Congress on this subject, it appears to the Committee to be inexpedient, in every view of the question; that the Government should be converted into a great money lender .-There is no species of trade in which it would be wise for the Government to embark; but of all the variety of pursuits known to human enterprise, that of lending money by the Government to the citizens of the country, would be fraught with the most pernicious consequences.

In the first place, it is a business to which, in the very nature of things, no Government is adapted, and, least of all, a popular Government. There is no employment of capital that requires a more vigilant and skilful superintendence. Nothing but the ever active motive of individual interest can supply the watchfulness necessary to secure a banking institution against the grossest frauds and impositions. In pecuniary transactions, few men are to be found who will serve others, in cases involving the exercise of discretionary power, with the same fidelity that they would serve themselves; and, when we consider the strong motives, both of private friendship

and political attachment, which would operate on the directors of a Government bank, to bestow its favours without impartiality or prudence, it requires but little sagacity to foresee that enormous losses would be annually

*sustained by the insolvency of the Government debtors.

All Governments have found it expedient to place the public Treasury under the guardianship of a high and confidential officer, aided, in the enforcement of a rigid responsibility, by a system of checks and counterchecks, operating upon all the subordinate officers concerned in collecting and disbursing the public revenue. Such is our own system. No discretion is vested in the chief officer of the Treasury, much less in those that are subordinate, in the appropriation of a single dollar of the public money. "No money can be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of appropriations made by law." How far these wise and provident safeguards, and this constitutional barrier, would be prostrated by placing not only the public revenue, but the public credit, at the disposal of some hundreds of bank directors in various parts of the Union, is a very grave question for the consideration of the House.

Our own experience has demonstrated the great danger of having large masses of the community indebted to the Government. It was a deep conviction of this danger that induced Congress to abolish the system of credit sales in the disposition of public lands. Congress has been compelled to yield to the pressing importunities of the purchasers of these lands, by granting them not only repeated indulgencies, but by remitting some mil-What then, would be the situation of the Government, lions of the debt. with a debt of fifty millions diffused throughout the country, and due to it from the most active, enterprising, and influential classes of the community? Nothing that has not happened can be more certain, than that every unfavourable vicissitude in trade, every period of commercial distress and embarrassment, would give rise to importunate and clamorous calls for indulgence, and for an injudicious extension of discounts, which no administra-Every one who has witnessed the tion would have the firmness to resist. urgency and unanimity with which the representatives of the states, indebted for public lands, have pressed the claims of their citizens for indulgence and remission, must be satisfied, that, if the citizens of all the states should become indebted much more largely for bank loans, the government would have scarcely any faculty of resistance, when appeals for indulgence should come from all quarters of the Union, sustained by the strong plea of public distress and embarrassment.

The policy of extending indulgence to the public debtors, and of granting more liberal loans to the community, would, in the natural course of things, become the favourite theme of those who aspire to popular favour. Political parties would come to be divided upon the question of observing towards the public debtors a strict banking policy, indispensable to the maintenance of specie payments, on the one hand, or a liberal government policy, necessarily involving a suspension of specie payments, on the other. And when it is considered that the whole class of debtors, always the most numerous and active portion of the community, would be naturally in favour of increasing bank issues, and extending bank indulgences, it can scarcely be doubted that specie payments would be suspended in the first great pecuniary exigency, growing out of the embarrassments in our com-

merce, or deficiences in our revenue.

The Government, therefore, which is under the most sacred obligations to constrain all the banks to maintain specie payments, with a view to the uniformity and soundness of the currency, would, by its own example, perpetuate the great national evil of a fluctuating and depreciated circulating medium.

These evils, which would be so highly probable in time of peace, would be almost certain in the event of war. The temptation to supply the Federal Treasury by the easy process of bank issues, rather than resort to the unpopular process of internal taxation, would be too fascinating to be resis-We should thus experience, what every nation has experienced in like circumstances, the manifold evils of a mere paper currency, having no relation to any standard of intrinsic value. In these views the committee are fully sustained by the opinion of Mr. Lowndes, expressed in 1819.— These are his words: "That the destruction of the (United States) Bank would be followed by the establishment of paper money, he firmly believed; he might almost say, he knew. It was an extremity from which the house would recoil, if now proposed; but if the resolutions on the table were passed, it would very soon be proposed. The subject was too large for an incidental discussion. Gentlemen thought the amount of government paper might be limited, and depreciation prevented, by the rate of interest which should be exacted. Inadequate every where, the security was particularly ineffectual in the United States."

But the inevitable tendency of a government bank to involve the country in a paper system, is not, in the opinion of the committee, the greatest objection to it. The powerful, and in the hands of a bad administration, the irresistible and corrupting influence which it would exercise over the elections of the country, constitutes an objection more imposing than all others united. No matter by what means an administration might get into power, with such a tremendous engine in their hands, it would be almost impossible to displace them without some miraculous interposition of Prov-

idence.

Deeply impressed with the conviction, that the weak point of a free government is the absorbing tendency of executive patronage, and sincerely believing that the proposed bank would invest that branch of the government with a weight of monied influence more dangerous in its character, and more powerful in its operation, than the entire mass of its present patronage, the Committee have felt that they were imperiously called upon, by the highest considerations of public duty, to express the views they have presented, with a frankness and freedom demanded by the occasion. at the same time, due to their own feetings, that they should state unequivocally their conviction, that the suggestion of the Chief Magistrate, which they have thus freely examined, proceeded from motives of the most disinterested patriotism, and was exclusively designed to promote the welfare of the country. This is not the mere formal and heartless homage. sometimes offered up to official station, either from courtesy or interest, but a tribute which is eminently due, and cheerfully rendered, to the exalted character of the distinguished individual on whom it is bestowed.

Extract of a letter from an intelligent merchant in Charleston, South Carolina, to the Chairman of the Committee of Ways and Means, illustrating the exchange operations of the Bank of the United States.

"This effect of diminishing the vast difference of exchange between the various points of 'the country, was evidently produced by the bank. advantages produced by this institution, in the intercourse between the Western and Atlantic States, can be duly appreciated only by one who sees passing before him, the actual operation of the system of exchange it has created. For example: Lexington, in Kentucky, annually accumulates a large surplus of funds to her credit in Charleston, derived from the sale of horses, hogs, and other live stock, driven to that as well as to other Southern markets by her citizens. Philadelphia is indebted to Charleston for exchange remitted, dividends on bank stock, &c. and Lexington is indebted to Philadelphia for merchandise. Without the transportation of a single piece of coin, Lexington draws on Charleston, and remits the check to Philadelphia in payment of her debt there; which operation adjusts the balance between the three points of the triangle almost without expense or Could such facilities be obtained from any other than an institution having branches in different parts of the Union, acting as co-partners in one concern? Local banks, whatever might be their willingness, could not accommodate in the same manner and to a like extent,"

"The discounting of bills on the low terms established by the Branch Bank at this place, is a great benefit to the agricultural interest, particularly in enhancing the price of cotton and rice; and were the bank to stop its operations, there is no saying how far these staples would be depressed.—
The private dealers in exchange would take the place of the bank in that business, and their profits on bills would be taken out of the pockets of the planters, as the merchants would always regulate the price they would give for an agricultural production, by the high or low rate at which they could negotiate their bills. On account of its connexion with all parts of the Union, the bank affords this important advantage to the public: it is always a purchaser and always a seller of exchange at fixed and low rates,

and thus prevents extortion by private dealers."

* * "Before this bank went into operation, exchange was from 8 to 10 per cent. either for or against Charleston, which was a loss to the planter to that amount on all the produce of Georgia and South Carolina, and indeed you might say all the produce of the Southern and Western States." * * * *

"If the Bank of the United States were destroyed, the local banks would again issue their paper to an excessive amount; and while a few adventurous speculators would be much benefitted by such an issue, the honest and unsuspecting citizens of our country would, finally, be the losers. If we look back to what took place in New York, Pennsylvania, the Western States, and even in our own state, we shall see the grossest impositions committed by banks, commencing with a few thousand dollars in specie, buying up newspapers to puff them as specie-paying banks, in order to delude the public, and, after getting their bills in circulation, blowing up, and leaving the unsuspecting planter and farmer, victims of a fraud, by

which they were deprived of the hard earnings of years of honest industry. But, sir, I believe the bank owes a great deal of the opposition which exists, and has existed, to the fact that it has put down these fraudulent institutions, got up by combinations and conspiracies of speculators; and who, after receiving large dividends, managed to destroy the credit of their own paper, and, by the agency of brokers, bought it up at half its nominal value.

"Since I last wrote you, I had a conversation with a gentleman in the confidence of some of the monied men of the North, and he says they are determined to break up the United States Bank, to enable them to use their money to advantage; as that institution gives so many facilities to the community, as to deprive them of their former profits."

"There is another consideration: the distress would be immense, which a refusal to renew the charter would produce among those who are indebted to the institution: for I find that, to this branch, the planters owe upwards of a million of dollars; and I have no hesitation in saying, as safe a debt as is owing to any bank in the Union. But if the bank should wind up its affairs, these planters could not get credit from other institutions; and as the bank can sue in the United States' Court, where judgment is obtained almost at once, property would be greatly depressed, and monied men would buy it up for half its value. Throughout the Union all classes would suffer, except those who should hold up their money to go into the brokerage business, or buy property at a sacrifice. If I were sure the bank would not be rechartered, I would convert my property into money, with a view to dealing in exchange. I could make a vast fortune by it."

State of the Bank of the United States, April 1, 1830.

Notes discounted,		-	-	-	-		-	32,138,270	89
Domestic bills dis	count	ied,	-	-	-	-	-	10,506,882	54
Funded debt held	by th	ne bar	ık,	-	-	-	-	11,122,530	90
Real estate,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,891,890	75
Funds in Europe,	equa	l to s	pecie,		-	-	-	2,789,498	54
Specie, -	-	-	-	•.	-	-	-	9,043,748	97
Public deposits,		-	-	-	-	-	-	8,905,501	87
Private deposits,		-	-	-	_	-	-	7,704,256	87
Circulation,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*16,083,894	00

^{*} This is the circulation from the office returns. We know, however, that a part of it is received at other offices, and is in passage from one to the other. So that the nett circulation is \$14,176,927.

APPENDIX, No. 1.—List of Transfers directed by the Secretary of the Treasury from and to Bank U. S. and Offices, from June 6 to Dec. 14, 1829.

					fices, from June 6 to	
NO.	DA'		WHERE	FROM	WHERE TO	AMOUNT
	June 8,		New York		Washington	100,000
2		6 6	Bank Unite	ed States		50,000
3	66	66	New York		Ditto	150,000
			Ditto		Bank United States	1,865,000
4			New Orlea	ns	Ditto	75,000
			Louisville		Ditto	50,000
			Cincinnati		Ditto	115,000
			Bank Unite	ed States	Baltimore	135,000
1			Ditto		Norfolk	80,000
A.			Ditto		Fayetteville	20,000
			Ditto		Charleston	200,000
			Ditto		Savannah	15,000
			Ditto		Mobile	35,000
		-	Portland		Portsmouth	20,000
			Ditto		Boston	90,000
			New York		Ditto	40,000
			Providence		New York	140,000
		j	Hartford		Ditto	35,000
			New York		Richmond	70,000
1			Ditto		Charleston	80,000
			Cincinnati		Pittsburgh	15,000
31	July 8,	66	New York		Washington	100,000
32	Aug. 3,	66	Ditto		Ditto	100,000
33	66	66	Baltimore	•	Ditto	50,000
	Sept. 1,	66	New York		Ditto	100,000
	9,	66	Louisville		Pittsburgh	20,000
	66	66	Charleston		Favetteville	10,000
- 1	66	66	Bank Unite	d States		20,000
	66	66	New York	a States	Ditto	20,000
	66	66	Louisville		Nashville	20,000
44	14,	66	New York		Washington	100,000
45	"	66	Boston		Ditto	40,000
47	21.	66	Charleston		Fayetteville	20,000
	Oct. 5,	66	New York		Norfolk	50,000
50	12,	66	Ditto		Washington	100,000
	Nov. 2,	66	Bank Unite	d States	Norfolk	50,000
52	66	66	New York		Washington	100,000
531			Boston		Ditto	50,000
63		,	Bank Unite	d States		50,000
64			New York		Washington	100,000
65			Ditto		Norfolk	50,000
66			Ditto		Washington	100,000
G47			Bank Unite	d States	Ditto	100,000
6 8			Boston	a Maios	Ditto	25,000
	Dec. 14	1829	Ditto		Bank United States	500,000
70	66	66	New York		D:44a	1,000,000
71	66	66	New Orlean		Ditto	400,000
72	4.6	66	Bank Unite	d States	D. 141	300,000
73	6.6	6 6	Ditto	w Diales	Charleston	50,000
7.4	66	6 6	Savannah		Norfolk	50,000
						\$7,055,000
1200						1,000,000

From the above statement, the annual amount of the transfers made for the Government, free of expense, may be inferred.

APPENDIX No. II.

PRICES CURRENT, Exhibiting a Comparative View of the relative value of Bank Notes in 1816 and in 1829, at various places.

4								
A.	Boston.	con.	New	New York.	Philac	Philadelphia.	Baltimore.	nore,
	1816. July 1.	1829. Dec'r. 5.	1816. July 1.	1829. Dec'r. 5.	1816. July 1.	1829. Dec'r. 5.	1816. July 1.	1829. Dec'r. 5.
Spanish Dollars	par				18½ adv.	182 adv. par a 4 adv.	18 adv.	Ī
American "	par	par	1	1	17 66	par	1 1	
Boston Notes	1 1	1 1	7 adv.	l agr	17 %	bar Dar	12 a 14 adv.	1
ork	74 a 8 dis.	dis. a par		<u>.</u> I	93		8½ a 9 adv.	Ť
Philadelphia "	17 a 18 dis.	do.	17 dis.	par	1	. 1	24 adv.	·
Pennsylvania "	1	1	1	, 1	11 disc.	par	1	~ ,
Baltimore "	194 a 20 dis.	4 dis. a par	194 a 20 dis. 4 dis. a par 20 a 21 dis.	4 dis. a par	43 66	par	ı	l
Maryland 66	1		1	1	10 "	4 disc.	1	ı
Virginia	1	1	13 dis.	1 disc.	4 adv.	2 a 4 dis.	7½ adv.	'l
Dist. Columb."	1	i	21 a 22 dis. 4 dis. a par	dis. a par	7 disc.	w44	1	l
N. Carolina "	1	1	· 13 dis.	1 disc.	4 adv.	23 66	1	1
S. Carolina "	l	١	10 a 12 dis.	1 disc.	» ¥9	14 66	7 a 84 adv.	1
Georgia "	1	1	do.	1 disc.	1	-	op	1

PRICES CURRENT, Exhibiting a Comparative View of the relative value of Bank Notes in 1816 and in 1829, at various places. APPENDIX NO. II—CONTINUED.

	Savannah.	1816. 1829. uly 1. Dec'r. 5.	}	1	1		5 a 9 disc. 14 disc.	1	do 1½ disc.	- op	do 1½ disc.	do ½ dis.	op	. 34 dis.	par a 1-8 adv.	
		ا ي ا	•	par -	_	_	par 5 a 9	ır d		_		Ö	P	-	,	
	Charleston.	1816, 1829. 1816, 1829. 1816, 1829. 1817, Dec.5. July 1. Dec.7. 5. July 1. Dec.5.	1	6 a 8 adv. pa	1	8adv. par	2 adv. pa	5 a 64 dis. par	1	8 a 9 dis. par	-		1	1	 	
	Norfolk.	1829. Dec'r. 5.	par	par	ſ	par	par	par	par	par		1	1 dis.	5 dis. 1a14 dis.	op	
-	Nor	1816. July 1.	9 a 10 adv.	qo	l	8 adv.	5 adv.	4 dis.	ı	7 a 9 dis.	1	1	9 a 10 dis. 1 dis.	5 dis.	2 a 24 dis.	
	Richmond.	1816. 1829. July 1. Dec. 5.	,	1	,	•	1	1	4	ı	1	٠	٠	,	,	
	Rich	1816. July 1.	'		•		,	,	•	,	,	,	•	,	,	
	gton.	1829. Dec'r. 5.	par	par	1	dis.	par	par	par	par	, 1	par	, 1	par al dis.	op	
	Washington.	1816. July 1.	Dollars 20 a 22 adv.	op	ı	Notes 20 a 22 adv.	15 a 16 adv.	6 a 7 adv.	6 a 7 adv.	2 adv.	1	par	_	par a 3 disc. par a 1 dis.	do	
			Spanish Dollars	American "	Gold .		r.	Philadelphia "	Pennsylvania "	Baltimore "	Maryland 66	Virginia 66	Dist Columb 66	N. Carolina "	S. Carolina "	

APPENDIX No. II—CONCLUDED.

PRICES CURRENT, Exhibiting a Comparative View of the relative value of Bank Notes in 1816 and in 1829, at various places.

									-	-
	New Orleans.	leans.	Louis	Louisville.	Lexin	Lexington.	Cincinnati.	nati.	Pittsburgh.	rrgh.
0	1816. July 1.	1829. Dec'r. 1.	1816. July 1.	1829. Dec'r. 5.	1816. July 1.	1829. Dec'r. 5. July 1. Dec. 5.	1816. 1829. July 1. Dec. 5.	1829. Dec. 5.	1816. 1829. July 1. Dec. 5	1829. Dec. 5
Spanish Dollars 12 a 15 adv.	12 a 15 adv.		10.01.	:	:	:	:	:	18a 25 ad.	par
Gold	: :	:	o adv.	par	:	:	:	:	:	
Z	:	: :		par	2 adv.	par	: :	: :	: :	: :
Dislogation of	:	:	4 adv.	par	2 adv.	par	:	:	:	: :
Pennsylvania "	:	:	3 adv.	par	2 adv.	par	:	:	10 adv.	par
Baltimore "	:	;	:	:	:	:	:	:	6	:
Maryland "	:	:	;	par	:	:	:	:	9 adv.	par
Virginia 66	- 4	:	: "	: -	:	:	:	:	:	:
Dist.Columb."		•	* auv.	disc.	:		:	:	:	:
N. Carolina "		:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:
S. Carolina "	: :	:	4 adv.	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
Georgia "	: ;	: '	:	:	:	:	•	:	*	:
6		:	:	:	:	:	:	= ::		:

SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES,

MARCH 29, 1830.

The Committee of Finance, consisting of

The Honourable Samuel Smith, of Maryland, Chairman,

- NATHANIEL SILSBEE, of Massachusetts.
- WILLIAM SMITH, of South Carolina,
- WILLIAM R. KING, of Alabama,
- Josian S. Johnston, of Louisiana.

made the following

REPORT:

The Committee on Finance, to which was referred a resolution of the 30th December, 1829, directing the Committee to inquire into the expediency of establishing an uniform National currency for the United States, and to report thereon to the Senate, report:

THAT nothing short of the imperative order of the Senate could induce the committee to enter on a subject so surrounded with difficulty. undertake it with diffidence and a distrust of their capacity to elucidate a subject that has engaged many nations, and the pens of the ablest writers, without, as yet, coming to any definite conclusion. It still remains to be determined, What is the soundest and most uniform currency? One nation assumes one system, another a different plan. In one nation, a plan is devised, and succeeds for a time by prudent and restrictive emissions. Elated with success, larger and more extensive emissions are risqued; a rapid nominal rise of all property takes place; the people are not aware that such nominal rise is the effect of depreciation; the bubble bursts, and ruin to the unsuspecting, is the consequence. All history shows such a result in several nations, and particularly in that of the United States. The committee, engaged on a variety of subjects, cannot devote so much time on the resolution as the mover must believe would be necessary to develope fully the question before them, to wit: A sound and uniform National Currency. Presuming, from the tenor of the resolution, that the uniform National currency proposed, must be prepared by the National Government, circulated under its authority, and maintained by its credit, the committee have complied with the instruction of the Senate, by endeavoring to devise some

plan, through which the agency of the Government, in such a measure could be safe, or useful; but, after giving to it all the consideration they could bestow, their reflections have resulted in a belief that any such measure must resolve itself, at last, into a mere system of paper money, issued The resort to the issue of a paper money has been by the Government. often the desperate expedient of the wants of a nation. It has then found its justification only in the necessity which created it: yet such are its inevitable evils, that every prudent Government has, the moment its pressing exigencies permitted, returned to the only safe basis of a circulating medium, the precious metals, and the private credits attached to the use of them. Such were the expedients of the Government of the United States during its two wars; such its immediate abandonment of them at the return But, in the present condition of the Treasury of the United States, with a revenue far beyond its wants, with a debt almost nominal, and hastening to its entire extinguishment, such a measure is not needed by the interests of the Government, nor is there the slightest indication of its being demanded by the wants of the country. Of such an issue of paper money, the Executive at Washington would be the natural fountain,the agents of the Executive, the natural channels. The individuals, and corporations, and States, who borrowed it, must become debtors to the Government; and the inevitable consequence would be, the creation of a monied engine of direct dependence on the officers of Government, at variance with the whole scheme of our institutions. The limit to which this currency should be issued, the persons to whom it should be lent, the securities taken for its repayment, the places where it should be redeemed, involve great complication and great hazard, regarding it merely in a finare cial point of view, while, on more enlarged considerations of political expediency, the objections to it are, in the opinion of the committee, insuperable and fatal.

Believing such a scheme to be impracticable, the committee were consoled with the reflection that it is unnecessary, as they are satisfied that the country is in the enjoyment of an uniform National currency, not only sound and uniform in itself, and perfectly adapted to all the purposes of the Government and the community, but more sound and uniform than that possessed by any other country. The importance of this truth will

justify the committee in stating some details to establish it.

The currency of the United States, the only legal currency, is gold and silver. All debts to the Government, and all debts to individuals, being received in that medium, and in no other. As, however, the amount of coin requisite for these purposes would be unmanageable and inconvenient, the United States, like other commercial countries, have adopted the system of making credit supply many of the uses of coin: and numerous banking companies have been established, issuing notes, promising to pay on demand, gold and silver. The Government of the United States has established one of a similar character; and for the convenience of the community, the public revenue is collected in gold and silver, the notes of the Bank of the United States, and the notes of such solvent State banks as the Bank of the United States and its branches will receive as cash.

The currency, therefore, of the United States, in its relation to the Government of the United States, consists of gold and silver, and of notes equivalent to gold and silver. And the inquiry which naturally presents itself, is, whether this mixed mass of currency is sound and uniform for all

the practical purposes of the Government, and the trade of the Union. That it is so, will appear from the following facts:

1st. The Government receives its revenue from

343 Custom Houses, 42 Land Offices,

8,004 Post Offices,

134 Receivers of Internal Revenue,

37 Marshals,

33 Clerks of Courts.

These, with other receiving officers, which need not be specified, compose an aggregate of more than 9,000 persons, dispersed through the whole of the Union, who collect the public revenue. From these persons, the Government has, for the ten years preceding the 1st of January, 1830, received two hundred and thirty millions, sixty eight-thousand, eight hundred and fifty five dollars and seventeen cents, (\$230,068,855 17.) sum has been collected in every section of this widely extended country. It has been disbursed at other points, many thousand miles distant from the places where it was collected; and yet it has been so collected and distributed, without the loss, as far as the committee can learn, of a single dollar, and without the expense of a single dollar to the government. That a currency, by which the Government has been thus enabled to collect and transfer such an amount of revenue to pay its army and navy, and all its expenses, and the national debt, is unsafe and unsound, cannot readily be believed: for there can be no surer test of its sufficiency, than the simple Act that every dollar received in the form of a bank note, in the remotest parts of the interior, is, without charge, converted into a silver dollar, at every one of the vast number of places where the service of the Government requires its disbursement. The Secretary, of the Treasury, in his report of the 6th of December, 1828, declares that, during the four years preceding, the receipts of the Government had amounted to more than ninety-seven millions of dollars, and that "all payments on account of the public debt, whether for interest or principal; all on account of pensions; all for the civil list; for the army; for the navy; or for whatever purpose wanted, in any part of the Union, have been punctually met." The same officer states, that "it is the preservation of a good currency that can alone impart stability to property, and prevent those fluctuations in its value, hurtful alike to individuals, and to national wealth. This advantage, the Bank has secured to the community, by confining within prudent limits its issues of paper," &c. &c.

2d. If this currency is thus sound and uniform for the Government, it is

not less so to the community.

The basis of all good currency, should be the precious metals, gold and silver; and in a mixed currency of paper circulating with gold or silver, and convertible into it; the great object to be attained is, that the paper should always be exchangeable for gold or silver. Such a currency is perfect, uniting the convenience of a portable material with the safety of a metallic medium. Now it cannot be doubted, that throughout this whole country, the circulating bank notes are equal to specie, and convertible into specie. There may be, and probably are, exceptions; because among banks, as among men, there are some who make a show of unreal strength. But it is a fact, so familiar to the experience of every citizen in the community, as to be undeniable, that, in

all the Atlantic and commercial cities, and generally speaking, throughout the whole country, the notes of the State banks are equal to gold or silver, The committee do not mean to say that there may not be too many banks, or that insolvencies do not occasionally occur among them; but as every bank which desires to maintain its character, must be ready to make settlements with the Bank of the United States, as the agent of the Government, or be immediately discredited, and must therefore keep its notes equal to gold or silver, there can be little danger to the community, while the issues of the banks are restrained from running to excess, by the salutary control of the Bank of the United States, whose own circulation is extremely moderate, compared with the amount of its capital. Accordingly, the fact is, that the general credit of the banks is good, and that their paper is always convertible into gold or silver, and for all local purposes forms a local currency equivalent to gold and silver. There is, however, superadded to this currency, a general currency more known, more trusted; and more valuable than the local currency, which is employed in the exchanges between different parts of the country. These are the notes of the national These notes are receivable for the government, by the 9,000 receivers, scattered throughout every part of the country. They are in fact, in the course of business, paid in gold or silver, though they are not legally, or necessarily so paid, by the branches of the bank in every section of the In all commercial places they are received, in all transactions, without any reduction in value, and never, under any circumstances, does the paper, from the remotest branches, vary beyond a quarter of one per cent. in its actual exchange for silver. Here, then, is a currency as safe as silver; more convenient, and more valuable than silver, which, through the whole Western and Southern, and interior parts of the Union, is eagerly sought in exchange for silver; which, in those sections, often bears a premium paid in silver; which is throughout the Union equal to silver in payment to the Government and payments to individuals in business, and which, whenever silver is needed in any part of the country, will command it, without the charge of more than a slight fraction of a per centage. means of this currency, funds are transmitted at an expence less than in any other country. In no other country, can a merchant do what every citizen of the United States can do-deposite, for instance, his silver at St. Louis, or Nashville, or New Orleans, and receive notes, which he can carry with him 1,000 or 1,500 miles, to the Atlantic cities, and there receive for them an equivalent amount of silver, without any expense whatever; and in no possible event, an expense beyond a quarter of one per cent. ever, a citizen does not wish to incur the anxiety of carrying these notes with him, or to run the hazard of the mail, he may, instead of them, receive a draft, payable to himself or his agent alone, so as to ensure the receipt of an equal amount, at an expense of not one-half, and often not one fourth, of the actual cost of carrying the silver. The owner of funds, for instance, at St. Louis or Nashville, can transfer them to Philadelphia for one-half per cent.; from New Orleans, generally, without any charge at all-at most, one half per cent.; from Mobile, from par to one-half per cent.; from Savan nah, at one-half per cent.; and from Charleston, at from par to one-quarter per cent.

This seems to present a state of currency approaching as near to perfection as could be desired: for here is a currency issued at twenty-four different parts of the Union, obtainable by any citizen who has money or credit.

When in his possession, it is equivalent to silver in all his dealings with all the 9,000 agents of the Government, throughout the Union. In all his dealings with the interior, it is better than silver; in all his dealings with the commercial cities, equal to silver; and if, for any purpose, he desires the silver with which he bought it, it is at his disposal, almost universally, without any diminution, and never more than a diminution of one quarter per cent. It is not easy to imagine, it is scarcely necessary to desire, any currency better than this.

It is not among its least advantages, that it bears a proper relation to the real business and exchanges of the country; being issued only to those whose credit entitles them to it, increasing with the wants of the active operations of society, and diminishing, as these subside, into comparative inactivity; while it is the radical vice of all government paper to be issued without regard to the business of the community, and to be governed whole

ly by considerations of convenience to the government.

After escaping so recently from the degradation of a depreciated paper currency, the committee would abstain from every thing which might, however remotely, revive it. The period is not remote when, in the language of the late Secretary of the Treasury, the country was oppressed by a "currency without any basis of coin, or other effective check, and of no value, as a medium of remittance or exchange, beyond the jurisdiction of the State whence it had been issued—a currency that not unfrequently imposed upon the Treasury the necessity of meeting, by extravagant premiums, the mere act of transferring the revenue, collected at one point, to defray unavoidable expense at another." It is still within the recollection of the Senate, when, at the seat of Government itself, specie could only be had at 20 or 22 per cent, in exchange for the bank paper promises to pay specie; that for bank notes of Baltimore, 2 per cent, were paid; for those of Philadelphia, 6 to 7 per cent.; for those of New York, 15 to 16 per cent.; and for those of Boston, 20 to 22 per cent.; ruinous inequalities, which have now happily disappeared.

3d. The soundness of the currency may be further illustrated by the

present condition of the foreign exchanges.

Exchange on England is, at the present moment, more than 1 per cent, under par; that is, more than one per cent, in favor of the United States. This being the real fact, disguised by the common forms of quoting ex-

change on England at between 8 and 9 per cent. premium.

It would lead the committee too far from its present purpose to explain that the original estimate of the American dollar, as being worth four shillings and sixpence, and that, therefore, the English pound sterling is worth \$4 44, is wholly erroneous, and occasions a constant misapprehension of the real state of our intercourse with Great Britain. The Spanish dollar has not, for a century, been worth four and sixpence; the American dollar never was: and whatever artificial value we may assign to our coins, is wholly unavailing to them in the crucibles of London or Paris. According to the latest accounts from London, at the close of December last, the Spanish dollar, instead of being worth four shillings and sixpence, or 54 pence, was worth only 49½ pence; the American dollar at least one fourth per cent, less; so that, to produce one hundred times four and sixpence, it would be necessary to send to England, not 100 dollars, but 109 1-16 Spanish dollars, or 109½ of the United States' dollar. If to this be added the expenses and charges of sending the money and converting it into En-

D 2

glish gold, it will cost 111; so that 111 is, at this moment, the real par of exchange between the United States and England. If, therefore, a bill at sight can be procured for less than this sum, or a bill at sixty days for one per cent. less, say 110 per cent. it is cheaper than sending silver; that is to say: he who has silver to send to England, can purchase a bill on London for a greater amount than he would get if he shipped the silver itself, and of course exchange would be in favor of the United States against England. Now, such bills can be bought at a less rate, by more than one per cent. in

every city in the United States. This fact is conclusive as to the state of the currency. If the bank notes of the country were not equal to specie, specie would be at a premium, which it no where is at present. If the currency were unsound, more must be paid of that currency in order to produce an equal amount of coin in another country, where these bank notes do not circulate. But if, as is the case at present, the bank notes are convertible into specie: if you can buy with bank notes as much as you can buy with silver; and if, in the transactions of the country abroad, the merchants, who, if the notes were not equal to coin, would go to the bank and ship the coin, can pay as much debt in foreign countries with the notes as by sending the coin; there seems nothing wanting to complete the evidence of the soundness and uniformity

of the currency.

On the whole, the committee are of opinion that the present state of the currency is safe for the community, and eminently useful to the Government; that, for some years past, it has been improving by the infusion into the circulating medium of a larger portion of coin, and the substitution of the paper of more solvent banks in lieu of those of inferior credit; and that if left to the progress of existing laws and institutions, the partial inconveniences, which still remain, of the paper currency of the last war, will be wholly and insensibly remedied. Under these circumstances, they deem it prudent to abstain from all legislation; to abide by the practical good which the country enjoys, and to put nothing to hazard by doubtful experi-

The committee submit, for the information of the Senate, certain questions propounded to the President of the Bank of the United States, together with his answers thereto, and a document furnished by that officer, shewing the rates of exchange at which drafts are drawn by the Bank of the United States and its offices of discount and deposite; and ask to be discharged from the further consideration of the subject.

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE STATE LEGISLATURES OF THE U. STATES.

GENTLEMEN: The President of the United States has offered to you a great temptation. He has invited you to break down an Institution established by Congress, to regulate the currency, and thus to possess yourselves of the uncontrolled privilege of issuing paper money. If, therefore, you immediately instruct the Representatives in Congress from your state, to destroy the Bank of the United States; you will, in all probability, influence so large a portion of that body, as to succeed in the design of prostrating the General Government, by placing the revenues and the currency of the country at your mercy.

Whether this shall or shall not be, now depends wholly on yourselves.— It is a question of fearful importance, and you will, therefore, I am sure, bear with me while I examine whether it is for the real interest of our coun-

try that you should venture on this assumption of power.

Let us first state the question plainly. Soon after the General Government was formed by the people, in order to repair the effects of the paper issues of the revolutionary war, and to administer safely the finances of the Union, Congress established the Bank of the United States. Its agency in contributing to the general prosperity, is matter of historical notoriety; but, at the expiration of its charter, in consequence of the state of political parties, a bill for re-chartering it failed in the House of Representatives by one vote, and was lost in the Senate by the casting vote of the Vice President. The consequence was, that the State Governments, considering that Congress had renounced the power, proceeded to the establishment of several hundred banks, whose operations at last brought themselves and the country to such a state of insolvency, that in order to extricate both, Con-

gress again established the present bank.

That institution was calmly pursuing its way, with one third of its existence unexpired-injuring none, offending none, fulfilling all its duties to the Government, as the President himself acknowledged in the very message denouncing it-when, to the surprise of the whole country, the Chief Magistrate undertook to declare that its constitutionality had been well questioned; that it had failed to accomplish the object of its establishment. and that if any bank were necessary, it should be a Government Bank .-All these assertions were immediately and decisively put down by both Houses of Congress, whose decision was re-echoed by the People in every section of the Union. There it should have ended. But unfortunately he has resumed the subject; and appealing from Congress to the State Legislatures, endeavors to induce their interference by exciting at once their fears of danger and their hopes of power. He tells you that by destroying this bank, "the States would be strengthened by having in their hands the "means of furnishing the local paper currency through their own banks:" and that a bank, "as a branch of the Treasury Department," with a "few officers," engaged in buying and selling bills of exchange, would accomplish all the objects of the present Bank "shorn of the influence which 'makes that Bank formidable."

The questions, therefore, are:

What strength will be gained by the States in prostrating the Bank?

What dangers must be incurred in order to obtain that advantage, 2d. if it be one? and

What will be the effect of the contemplated substitute?

You will readily perceive that this question has no connexion whatever with the ordinary topics of party. It is not a question about the tariffabout internal improvement-about nullification-about party leaders of any side. It is a question about much higher things: the rights of property and the stability of our social system; a question between the curse of paper money, and the safety of a sound currency; and every man who has made any property, or hopes to make any by his industry, is deeply concerned in settling it.

Let us then ask-

It cannot be to enable the State Governments to make more Banks, for that power they exercise already, by making as many Banks as they please; but simply and solely this-to enable the State Banks to take the place of

1. What strength is to be gained by the States in destroying the Bank?

the United States Bank, and thus issue more notes. Now, as the State Governments certainly do not wish their Banks to issue more notes than are now issued by their Banks and the Bank of the United States jointly, of course all that the State Governments would wish their Banks to do, is to. increase their issues to the amount of the present issues of the United States The inquiry therefore is, what would be the amount of these increased issues, and what the advantage of it to the State Legislatures and their constituents.

According to the latest published statements and esti-Let us see. mates, the State of New York has thirty seven Banks, issuing about eight or nine millions of dollars. The issues of the branches of the Bank of the United States in that State amount to less than \$800,000; so that the gain to New York would be an ability to issue about nine or ten per cent. more notes.

The Banks of Pennsylvania have in circulation \$7,308,000; the issues of the Bank of the United States in that State are \$1,584,000, so that the

gain would be an issue of one-fifth more notes.

The Banks of Massachusetts have in circulation \$4,747,784; the issues of the Bank of the United States there are \$270,000, so that the gain would be about six per cent.

The Banks of Virginia have in circulation, \$3,847,000; the issues of the Bank of the United States there are \$903,000, furnishing a gain of

not one-fourth.

So that the utmost gain by those four States would be, that their banks: which now issue about twenty-five millions of dollars, would be able to issue about three millions and a half more—that is to say, to substitute three millions and a half of State Bank paper, for that much United States Bank paper. Now, whom does this benefit? To the Banks themselves, it is obviously a trifle. To the State Governments, as a source of increased revenue from the dividends of the Banks, it is not worth a moment's consideration; and as to the community, I appeal to you, as men of business,

whether there is any of your constituents who would not prefer the notes of the United States' Bank to those of the State Banks? The very first step of reform, therefore, is a positive loss. You will not let your constituents borrow from their neighbors, forming a board of directors of a branch bank, who give them notes circulating every where; and you force them to borrow from the same neighbors, forming a board of directors of a State bank, who give them notes not circulating twenty miles from his residence.— Your constituents will scarcely be grateful for this misguided tenderness to their interests. You see, then, that the issues of the Bank, restricted as they are, in order to restrain others, while they are sufficient to purify the mass of the circulation, are not so large as to interfere with the free issues of the State Banks; and that the Bank of the United States is, in fact, the mere sentinel over the issues of the State Banks, to prevent their excesses. It occupies, in regard to the State Banks, the same federal relation which the General Government bears to the State Governments; and as the General Government, in the exercise of its controlling powers, operates only by State means, employing Judges and Juries belonging to the State, so the National Bank acts only by employing certain citizens of the State to lend money to other citizens of the State-its directors and customers being all citizens of the State, and its directors being alternately directors of the National Bank and of the State Banks. So that the proposed change will be this: The citizens of your State now borrow money from the Bank of the United States, for which they receive the very best notes in the world. You are going to force them to pay up their leans, and oblige them to borrow from other banks, who give them notes of far inferior value. Now, of two things, one: These Banks will either limit themselves to filling up the void created by the destruction of the National Bank, or they will not. If they do not, the question is at once surrendered; for then the Bank is necessary to prevent their excesses. if they do as they ought to, issue only as much as is withdrawn, the utmost gain which, in the best possible view of it, the State Governments can expect from the change, is the profit to be derived by the substitution of a few millions of variable and doubtful currency, for that amount of a currency of unexampled value. What is this but to destroy the only efficient check on those institutions, which, though created by the States, the States have never been able to control. Let us now see,

At what hazards this advantage is to be earned.

The first danger is this: that in attempting to widen your own authority, you break down the constitution of your country. Recollect, that although you are now members of the State Legislatures, the honorable ambition and talents which have placed you there, will soon transfer you to the higher sphere of the general Government, where you will be called upon to defend those very institutions, on which you are now exhorted to trample. Take care, then, that you do not weaken your means of serving the nation, by an unnecessary committal of yourselves now, in regard to the very powers which you may be called upon to exercise hereafter. Is it prudent for you, as statesmen, to declare that when you go to Congress you will never, under any circumstances of national distress, resort to the means of relieving it which former Congresses have found the most effectual? Why bind yourselves for life in this way? Why forestal your own judgment as you grow older and wiser, and advance in your country's service?

For, listen calmly to what I am going to say. The President declares

that you may strengthen the State Governments by having the exclusive power of issuing paper money? Now what right have the State Governments to the exclusive issue of paper money. One of the most important causes which occasioned the creation of the General Government, was, that the issue of paper money by the States, was a grievance so intolerable, that the general Government alone could stop it. The people, therefore, in establishing the constitution, declared that the State Governments should not be allowed to issue paper money; but that the whole power of coining money and regulating its value, and of making the taxes payable in some uniform currency, should belong exclusively to the general Government. In the commentary on that subject, in the "Federalist," Mr. Madison, our highest consti-

tutional authority, declares as follows:

"The right of coining money, which is here taken from the States, was "left in their hands by the Confederation, as a concurrent right with that "of Congress, under an exception in favor of the exclusive right of Con-"gress to regulate the alloy and value. The extension of the prohibition "to bills of credit must give pleasure to every citizen in proportion to his "love of justice, and his knowledge of the true springs of public prosperity." "The loss which America has sustained since the peace, from the pestilent "effects of paper money, on the necessary confidence between man and "man; on the necessary confidence in the public councils; on the industry "and morals of the people, and on the character of Republican Govern-"ment, constitutes an enormous debt against the States chargeable with "this unadvised measure, which must long remain unsatisfied; or rather an "accumulation of guilt, which can be expiated no otherwise, than by a vol-" untary sacrifice on the altar of justice, of the power which has been the "instrument of it. In addition to these persuasive considerations, it may "be observed, that the same reasons which shew the necessity of denying "to the States the power of regulating coin, prove with equal force that "they ought not to be at liberty to substitute a paper medium in the place

And again, after thirty years experience, Mr. Madison, when President

of the United States, in his Message of 1816:

"But for the interest of the community at large, as well as for the pur-"poses of the Treasury, it is essential that the Nation should possess a cur-"rency of equal value, credit and use, wherever it may circulate. "Constitution has entrusted Congress exclusively with the power of crea-"ting and regulating a currency of that description, and the measures "which were taken during the last session, in execution of the power, give "every promise of success. The Bank of the United States, under auspi-"ces the most favorable, cannot fail to be an important auxiliary."

In the same spirit, the Supreme Court of the United States, in January, 1830, while annulling a law of the State of Missouri, which authorised the

issue of paper money, declares as follows:

"At a very early period of our Colonial history, the attempt to supply "the want of the precious metals by a paper medium, was made to a con-" siderable extent; and the bills emitted for this purpose have been frequent-" ly denominated bills of credit.

"During the war of our revolution, we were driven to this expedient; "and necessity compelled us to use it to a most fearful extent. "term has acquired an appropriate meaning, and "Bills of Credit" signify "a paper medium, intended to circulate between individuals, and between

"Government and individuals, for the ordinary purposes of society. Such a medium has been always liable to considerable fluctuation. Its value is continually changing, and these changes, often great and sudden, expose individuals to immense loss, are the sources of ruinous speculations, and destroy all confidence between man and man. To cut up this mischief by the roots, a mischief which was felt through the United States, and which deeply affected the interest and prosperity of all:—the people declared in their Constitution, that no States should emit bills of credit. If the prohibition means any thing, if the words are not empty sounds, it must comprehend the emission of any paper medium, by a State Government, for the purpose of common circulation."

Nor is Mr. Jefferson less explicit. During the last war, in 1813, he addressed to his son-in-law, Mr. Eppes, then in Congress, two letters on the financial situation of the country. He saw and deplored the worthless state of the circulating medium issued by the State Banks, and his plan was, that the General Government should obtain, either by voluntary cession from the States, or by the forced destruction of the State Banks, the exclusive power over the currency, and exercise it by the issue of Government paper.

"By the insolvency of these banks," he says, "a sum is thus swindled "from our citizens of seven times the amount of the real debt, and four "times that of the factitious one, of the United States, at the close of the "war. All this they will justly charge on their Legislatures, but this will be poor satisfaction for the two or three hundred millions they will have "lost. It is time, then, for the public functionaries to look to this. Perhaps, "it may not be too late. Perhaps, by giving time to the banks, they may "call in and pay off their paper by degrees. But no remedy is ever to be "expected while it rests with the State Legislatures. Personal motives can be exerted through so many avenues to their will, that, in their hands, it will continue to go on, through bad to worse, until the catastrophe over"whelms us. I still believe, however, that, on proper representations of the subject, a great proportion of these Legislatures would cede to Con"gress their power of establishing banks, saving the charter rights already "granted."

Again:

"I believe that every State west and south of Connecticut river, except "Delaware, would immediately do it, and the others would follow "in time. Congress would, of course, begin by obliging unchar-"tered banks to wind up their affairs within a short time, and "the others as their charters expired, forbidding the subsequent circu-" lation of their paper. This they would supply with their own-bottom-"ing every emission on an adequate tax, and bearing or not bearing inter-" est, as the state of the public pulse should indicate. Even in the non-com-" plying States, these bills would make their way and supplant the unfun-"ded paper of their banks, by their solidity, by the universality of their cur-" rency, and by their receivability for customs and taxes. It would be in their power, too, to curtail those banks to the amount of their actual " specie, by gathering up their paper and running it constantly on them.— "The national paper might thus take place even in the non-complying " States."

From this view of the Constitution, by the great expounders of it, you will readily perceive that the State Legislatures were never designed to be the exclusive suppliers of the National currency; and in regard to the pow-

er of the General Government, there is one simple decisive fact which ought to settle that matter. It is this—that long before any State had a bank, and long before nearly one-half of the States were even in existence, the Revolutionary Congress established a National Bank, and to that bank was greatly due our safety during the most gloomy period of the Revolution. The following act of Congress, passed on the 31st of December, 1781, proves both the power of that body, and the usefulness of the bank

"Whereas Congress, on the twenty-sixth day of May last, did, from a conviction of the support which the finances of the United States would receive from the establishment of a National Bank, approve a plan for such an institution, submitted to their consideration by Robert Morris, Esq. and now lodged among the archives of Congress, and did engage to promote the same by the most effectual means: and whereas the subscription thereto is now filled from an expectation of a charter of incorporation from Congress, the directors and president are chosen, and application hath been made to Congress by the said president and directors, for an act of incorporation: and whereas the exigencies of the United States render it indispensably necessary that such an act be immediately passed:

"Be it therefore ordained, and it is hereby ordained by the United States in Congress assembled, That those who are, and those who shall become, subscribers to the said bank, be, and forever after shall be, a corporation and body politic, to all intents and purposes, by the name and style of The President, Directors, and Company of the Bank of North America."

Since that day there has been an unbroken succession of concurring judgments in favor of the constitutional exercise of this power.

"If," observes the Committee of Ways and Means of the House of Representatives in 1830, "the concurrence of all the departments of the Government, at different periods of our history under every administration, and during the ascendancy of both the great political parties into which the country was divided, soon after the adoption of the present Constitution, shall be regarded as having the authority ascribed to such sanctions by the common consent of all well regulated communities, the constitutional power of Congress to incorporate a bank may be assumed as a postulate no longer open to controversy."

It would be a waste of words to say more on this point.

The second danger is, that you would not only break down the Constitution, but vitally impair the ordinary functions of the Government; for this

bank is highly expedient as well as constitutional.

As far as you of the State Legislature are concerned, it is enough for you that it is constitutional; since, in that case, its expediency becomes, of course, a question for the exclusive decision of Congress. But that noth-

ing may be unanswered, look at that point!

As vouchers for its expediency in the financial operations of the Government, you have the Congress of 1781, you have the Congress of 1791, with General Washington at their head, who made the second Bank, and the Congress of 1816, with Mr. Madison at their head, who made the third Bank.

You have the guarantee of all the Secretaries of the Treasury, from the

foundation of the Government.

Of Robert Morris, the financier of the Revolution, who declared, of the Bank established by Congress, that, "without that Bank, imperfect as was "its organization, the business of finance could not have been performed."

Of Alexander Hamilton, who declared that "a National Bank is an insti-"tution of primary importance to the prosperous administration of the "finances."

Of Mr. Gallatin, who says, "experience has, however, since confirmed "the great utility and importance of a Bank of the United States, in its

"connexion with the Treasury.

"To insist that the operations of the Treasury may be carried on with equal facility and safety through the aid of the State Banks, without the interposition of a Bank of the United States, would be contrary to fact and experience."

Of Mr. Crawford, who supported the renewal of the old charter, and on

every occasion bore testimony to the services of the Bank,

Of Mr. Dallas, who "regarded the establishment of a National Bank as "the best and perhaps the only adequate resource to relieve the country

"and the Government" from their embarrassments.

Of Mr. Rush, who stated that the "capacity in the Treasury to apply "the public funds at the proper moment, in every part of a country of such "wide extent, has been essentially augmented by the Bank of the U. States. "The department feels an obligation of duty to bear its testimony, founded on constant, experience, to the useful instrumentality of this institution, in all the most important fiscal operations of the nation."

Of the Committee of Ways and Means of the House of Representatives,

in 1830, who say --- :

"There can be no doubt that these fiscal operations are greatly facilitated by a Bank, and it is confidently believed that no person has presided twelve months over the Treasury, from its first organization to the present time, without coming to the conclusion, that such an institution is exceedingly useful to the public finances in time of peace, but indispensionable in time of war."

Of the Committee of Finance in the Senate, who say " that from about " nine thousand collectors of the public revenue, the Government has, Fr " the ten years preceding the 1st of January, 1830, received two hundred " and thirty millions sixty eight thousand, eight hundred and fifty-five dol-" lars and seventeen cents (\$230,068,855 17.) This sum has been col-" lected in every section of this widely extended country. It has been dis-" bursed at other points, many thousand miles distant from the places where " it was collected; and yet it has been so collected and distributed, without " the loss, as far as the Committee can learn, of a single dollar to the Gov-That a currency, by which the Government has thus been en-" abled to collect and transfer such an amount of revenue to pay its Army " and Navy, and all its expenses, and the National debt, is unsafe and un-" sound, cannot readily be believed; for there can be no surer test of its " sufficiency than the simple fact, that every dollar received in the form of " a bank note, in the remotest parts of the interior, is without charge conver-"Ted into a silver dollar, at every one of the vast number of places where " the service of the Government requires its disbursement."

It is doubtless to be regretted that these views in regard to Banks, entertained by the Congress of 1781, by the Congress of 1791, and the Congress of 1816, and the Supreme Court of the United States, and Gen. Washington, and Mr. Hamilton, and Mr. Adams, and Mr. Jefferson, and Mr. Morris, and Mr. Monroe, and Mr. John Quincy Adams, and Mr. Morris, and Mr. Gallatin, and Mr. Dallas, and Mr. Crawford, and Mr. Rush, and Mr.

E.

Lowndes, and Mr. Calhoun, and Mr. Clay, and Mr. Smith, of Maryland, and Mr. M'Duffie, should lose so much of their influence by not being in accordance with those of the present worthy Chief Magistrate: but if, not withstanding this difference, any weight be given to their concurring opinions, reflect on the nature of the opposition to the Government which you are desired to make.

You, members of the State Legislatures, with a direct prohibition against your issuing paper money, create banks to issue it. Be it so. You do this because you think it of advantage to the finances and the trade of your State. Now, on what principle can you deny to the General Government the right of employing the very same machinery for the finances and the trade of the Union—that General Government which has no such prohibition against it, which has the exclusive power of regulating the coinage, which has the exclusive power of regulating commerce, and the exclusive power of making the taxes and duties receivable in something of uniform value throughout the Union? To say that you have the right of employing this machinery yourselves is one thing; but to claim a patent for it, to say that nobody else shall use it, seems to be pushing the pretension to an extraordinary length. So much for its constitutionality and expediency.

The third danger is, that you not only violate the Constitution, and embarrass the Government, but inflict on the community the curse of a paper currency. For what has been the operation of the Bank on the State banks and the community? The answer is simple and decisive. It has produced and now maintains for the benefit of the country one of the greatest blessings which any country can enjoy—a sound and uniform currency.

No man in the United States, except one, doubts this:

"It has actually furnished," says the Committee of Ways and Means,

" circulating medium more uniform than specie." And again-

"It may be confidently asserted that no country in the world has a circulating medium of greater uniformity than the United States; and that
no country of any thing like the same geographical extent, has a currency at all comparable to that of the United States on the score of uniformity."

"It has produced," says the Committee of the Senate, "a state of currency approaching as near to perfection as could be desired; for here is
a currency issued at twenty-four different parts of the Union, obtainable
by any citizen who has money or credit. When in his possession, it is
equivalent to silver in all his dealings with all the 9000 agents of the

"Government throughout the Union.

"In all his dealings with the interior, it is better than silver; in all his dealings with the commercial cities, equal to silver; and if, for any pursone, he desires the silver with which he bought it, it is at his disposal, also most universally without any diminution, and never more than a diminution of one quarter per cent. It is not easy to imagine—it is scarcely necessary to desire any currency better than this."

It would have prevented the suspension of specie payments during the

last war. So says Mr. Gallatin.

"It is our deliberate opinion, that the suspension might have been prewented, and would not have happened at the time when it took place, had the former Bank of the United States been still in existence."

It, and it alone, occasioned the resumption of specie payments. So says

Mr. Gallatin,

After explaining the arrangements proposed by the Bank of the United

States to a Convention of State Banks, he adds-

"To that compact, which was carried into complete effect, and to the importation of more than seven millions of dollars in specie from abroad, by the Bank of the United States, the community is indebted for the universal restoration of specie payments, and for their having been sustained during the period of great difficulty, and of unexampled exportation of specie to China, which immediately ensued."

So says the Committee of Ways and Means—"The Committee are a-"ware that the opinion is entertained by some, that the local Banks would at some time or other, either voluntarily, or by the coercion of the State Legislatures, have resumed specie payments. In the very nature of

" things, this would seem to be an impossibility."

And again—" Deep and deleterious, therefore, as the disease evidently "was, in many of the States, their Legislatures could not have been expected to apply a remedy so painful as the compulsion of specie payments "would have been, without the aid of the Bank of the United States."

And how was this accomplished? By any harsh pressure upon them?—By no means. In the appendix to the Report of the Committee of Finance, it is stated by the President of the Bank, that after its organization, more than ten millions of dollars were turned over from the local banks to the Bank of the United States, which gave to that institution such a command over them, that in their exhausted condition it would have been easy to crush them. So far, however, from abusing that superiority, he adds, that although "there are very few banks which might not have been destroyed by "the exertion of the power of the bank, none have ever been injured, many "have been saved, and more have been and are constantly relieved when "it is found that they are solvent, and suffering under temporary difficulty." To the same effect the Committee of Ways and Means declare—

"And here it is worthy of special remark, that, while that bank has compelled the local banks to resume specie payments, it has most materially contributed, by its direct and liberal arrangements, to enable them to do so, and that with the least possible embarrassment to themselves, and

" distress to the community." And again-

"It is due to the persons who for the last ten years have been concerned in the administration of the bank, to state that they have performed the delicate and difficult trust committed to them in such a manner as, at the same time, to accomplish the great national ends for which it was established and promote the permanent interest of the stockholders, with the least practicable pressure upon the local banks. As far as the Committee are enabled to form an opinion from careful inquiry, the bank has been liberal and indulgent in its dealings with these institutions, and, with scarcely an exception, now stands in the most amicable relation to them. Some of those institutions have borne the most disinterested and unequivocal testimony in favor of the bank."

After this review of the nature and the benefits of the bank, even supposing you possessed the constitutional power to destroy it, consider whether it would be wise to use it. You see that, after the Revolutionary war, the States who issued paper money were totally unable to regulate it. You know that, during the last war, the States again attempted it, and again failed. What reason is there to hope that a new experiment will be more successful? Trace out the operation of it. If the present Bank is destroyed, after the inconvenience and trouble of its demolition pass, the

State Banks, having no longer any control, will proceed to issue as many notes as they can. Remark that, by their charters, they are generally allowed to issue notes to twice the amount of their capitals, a most wide discretion, and that nothing at this moment prevents it but the presence of the Bank of the United States. There are twenty-four States, with about five hundred banks, of which about three hundred and forty are in full operation; and, as there are four States who have no State Banks in operation, and there soon will probably be three more States, when the charter of the Bank of the United States expires, there will be three new and four old States who must begin the trade of banking. Here, then, will be twentyseven State Législatures who will add to the three hundred and forty banks now existing, an indefinite number of banks with an unlimited power of issuing paper money. Now let me ask you, as men of integrity, men of property, as men having the interests of your constituents at stake, can you look forward without horror to the consequences of such a state of things? With all these banks issuing paper money, straining their credit in the competition for increasing their circulation, would not there soon come a. confusion of local currencies degenerating into a mass of worthless paper, and bringing back the demoralizing scenes which have already so deeply afflicted our country? Is it not better for you to abstain from all attempts to embarrass the Government of the Union by urging doubtful pretensions? Is it not better to acquiesce in the will of the people, which has delegated to the Union the powers over the currency, and go on as you now do, in the concurrent exercise of this power, making as many banks as you please, while the General Government applies the only efficient correctives by the gentle restraint it imposes on institutions, which all experience proves cannot be controlled by the State Legislature? You think, perhaps, that reliance can be placed on the discretion of your legislature; but can you rely on the discretion of the twenty-six other Legislatures? and if in one only, a depreciated currency takes root, is it not utterly impossible to prevent the contagion from reaching you? Besides, if the currency is depreciated in some of the States, and not in yours, what great injustice your citizens will sustain. If the currency is depreciated among your neighbors, the citizens of that State pay all their taxes in what is less valuable than is paid by your citizens; and is not this an intolerable grievance? If you mean to preserve your currency sound, and to pay the taxes of the Government in what is equivalent to gold and silver, what guarantee have And, if they do not, why you that the other States will do the same? should you wish to prevent the General Government from enforcing that duty upon them; and why take from the national authorities the power of so regulating the national currency as to make the citizens of other states pay as much tax as your own citizens do—a salutary, and, to you, a most protective power? It remains ---

3. To examine the effect of the proposed substitute, which, we shall see, like most other temptations, will end by destroying the very interests in

professes to advance.

The President proposes a Bank on his own model; of course he thinks that a bank of some sort is inevitable. This at once demolishes his own constitutional objections, and renders the comparison between his bank and the bank established by your representatives in Congress a question of mere expediency. Let us make the comparison.

The new bank is to be "a Branch of the Treasury," it is to have public and private deposites; it has to transmit the public funds, and besides

purchasing bills for the Government, it is to sell bills of exchange at a premium, and it is to be managed by a "few officers," but no Directors. new bank, therefore, has to do the same things as the present bank, that is, it has to receive and to transfer the public funds, and to sell bills of Exchange; so that the only difference in that respect will be, that its Loans will be made in the form of bills of Exchange, instead of in the form of Notes, but the Government Funds are in both cases lent in the same way. The great and essential differences, however, are these. The present bank is responsible for all the public monies deposited with it .-The "few officers" of the Treasury, who are to be the depositories of twenty four millions of revenue, will not be sate; and if they are in default, the country loses the money. In transmitting the public funds from one end of the country to the other, if any loss occurs on bills, the Bank bears it. In similar purchases, the few officers will not have the same means of knowing the bill drawers as the Bank has; they will be much tempted to lend money on the bills of political friends; and if any loss happens, they ought scarcely to bear it, since it may be, or it may be made to seem, a mere error of judgment. Then, again, the loans and the operations of the present bank, in every state, are made by Boards of Directors of the most respectable citizens of that State-men of business of all political parties, selected for their especial competency for that trust. By the substitute, all Boards and all Directors are at once abolished: no citizen of the State is to have any concern in it. The whole is to be managed by a few officers appointed by the President. What sort of officers these would probably be-whether they would be respectable, responsible citizens, not politicians, or whether they would be insolvent partisans, you may conjecture from the pist. Look round you at the officers of that description. and see whether there is any very encouraging prospect that these "few officers" would be people to whom the management of twenty-four millions of dollars a year, PAID BY YOUR CONSTITUENTS, might be prudently trusted. The proposal is far more dangerous than if the President were to ask of Congress to abolish, in the United States' Courts, all the judges and juries of citizens of the states, and to allow him to appoint a few officers, removable, of course, at pleasure, who should administer justice in the States, without the troublesome intervention of independent judges and unmanageable jurymen. These officers, too, are to sell bills "at a moderate premium," so that, after all his reproaches against the Bank, that it has not equalized the currency, his own few officers are to sell bills not at par, but at a premium. The last and most essential difference is this.-By the present plan, the revenue, as it accrues, is lent out to the citizens of the States by the Bank of the United States, whose notes can thus be obtained; the notes of the State banks paid in for revenue return to the circulation, and the State banks have it always in their power to protect themselves against the National bank. By the substitute, except when the purchase of bills of exchange restores them to circulation, they are hoarded by these " few officers" of the Treasury: so that the best notes must be wholly withdrawn from the public, and the solvent State Banks. from whom the masses of their notes may be at any moment demanded by the Government, can no longer continue their discounts or their issues. The place of their issues must then be supplied by notes of less solvent Banks, which are under no control: so that if the plan were put in execution, the solvent State Banks would be crippled and rendered useless in a few months, and the whole mass of the currency become worthless.

This the State Banks know perfectly well. The solvent State Banks are deeply interested in the preservation of a sound currency, and they know from experience that however disposed to restrict their own issues they have no security for the general purity of the currency except the general authority of the Union exercised by the National Bank. Put that question to the State Banks. Ask them whether they think it-I will not say natural nor probable—but ask them if they think it possible that the general soundness and uniformity of the currency can be preserved in any way less injurious to them, than by the operations of this Bank. If the mild, and friendly control which it exercises, sometimes appears to interfere with the more abundant profits they might reap from larger issues, they should remember, as good citizens, that this very restraint is the price And, above all, they should examine the alternative which must be substituted for it. Mr. Jefferson, you perceive, saw no safety but in the absolute annihilation of the State Banks. The plan of the present Chief Magistrate is more decisively ruinous, because it would place them entirely under the command of a few officers of the Treasury, who would be possessed of all their notes and might at any moment crush them.

Such, gentlemen, are a few of the many considerations which should warn you against any hasty interference with the powers of the Government over the currency. Think of them soberly and calmly; and if, hereafter, any one of your young or old politicians, desirous of distinction or favor out of your State, should call upon you to instruct your Representatives in Congress on this subject, expostulate gently with him. If he should say the Bank is against the Constitution, tell him that the very same statesmen who made the Constitution, made the Bank; and that if this question be not settled, we have nothing settled in our institutions. If he should say it is inexpedient, tell him that it is a question not for the State Legislatures, but for Congress, and that it would be hard indeed to deny to Congress the same facilities for its finances, which the Legislature claims for its own. If he tells you that your banks will be able to issue more paper if the National Bank is destroyed, ask him if the paper will be probably safer and better, and more liked by your constituents?—and further, ask him what security there is, that these four or five hundred banks will not issue far too much paper, and that we shall not be brought back to the very point from which this bank relieved us, an irredeemable paper money? Say to him that you and your children have made your fortune, or hope to make it, by honest industry, & not by gambling; that the present state of things is good enough; and that it is better to stand by what we have, without trying any more experiments. If, finally, he should reserve for the last, what you may depend upon it was the first in his thoughts, and tell you that the present Chief Magistrate was opposed to it, and that his party ----, stop him and say that you have every fitting respect for the Chief Magistrate; but that this is a country of institutions, not of men; that in your State things are decided by reason, not by authority; and that a leader of a party has no right to expect that his followers will blindly injure their country, merely to please him. Say, too, that if this be made a party question, all the great chiefs of the party are against the President; and that to yield to the wishes or the prejudices of any man, against the interests of your country, is to betray your trust, and to surrender that personal independence, which is the proudest ornament of a representative of the People.

MR. GALLATIN'S OPINIONS.

[The important question of the renewal of the charter of the Bank of The United States, having been thus early agitated, and it being a matter of deep if not vital interest to a community so widely extended as that of the United States, to become acquainted with every argument which can be adduced to elucidate the subject, the publisher subjoins several interesting extracts from an essay in a late number of the Quarterly Review, written by ALBERT GALLATIN, Esq. (formerly secretary of the Treasury) whose talents and experience give a weight to his opinion that must entitle it to the dispassionate consideration of every individual in our country:

1st. Mr. Gallatin considers the Bank of the United States of the utmost

importance to the financial operations of the Government.

Experience, however, has since confirmed the great utility and importance of a Bank of the United States, in its connection with the Treasury. The first great advantage derived from it, consists in the safe keeping of the public monies, securing, in the first instance, the immediate payment of those received by the principal collectors, and affording a constant check on all their transactions; and, afterwards, rendering a defalcation in the monies once paid, and whilst nominally in the Treasury, absolutely im-The next and not less important, is to be found in the perfect facility with which all the public payments are made by checks, or treasury drafts, payable at any place where the Bank has an office; all those who have demands against government, are paid in the place most convenient to them; and the public monies are transferred through our extensive territory at a moment's warning, without any risk or expense, to the places most remote from those of collection, and wherever public exigencies may require. - From the year 1791 to this day, the operations of the Treasury have, without interruption, been carried on through the medium of the Banks; during the years 1811 to 1816 through the State Banks; before and since, through the Bank of the United States. Every individual who has been at the head of that department, and, we believe, every officer connected with it, has been made sensible of the great difficulties that must be encountered without the assistance of those institutions, and of the comparative ease and great additional security to the public, with which their public duties are performed through the means of the Banks. To insist that the operations of the Treasury may be carried on with equal facility and safety, through the aid of the State Banks, without the interposition of a Bank of the United States, would be contrary to fact and experience. That great assistance was received from the State Banks, while there was no other, has always been freely and cheerfully acknowledged. But it is impossible, in the nature of things, that the necessary concert could be made to exist between thirty different institutions; and in some instances heavy pecuniary losses, well known at the seat of government, have been experienced.

2d. He thinks, had it been in existence, it would have prevented the suspension of specie payments in 1814. The causes of that suspension he

examines in much detail, and concludes the enquiry thus:

We have stated all the immediate and remote causes within our know-ledge, which concurred in producing that event; and although the effects of a longer continuance of the war cannot be conjectured, it is our deliberate opinion, that the suspension might have been prevented, and would not have happened at the time when it took place, had the former Bank of the United States been still in existence. The exaggerated increase of State Banks, occasioned by the dissolution of that institution, would not have occurred. That Bank would, as before, have restrained within proper bounds, and checked their issues, and, through the means of its offices, it would have been in possession of the earliest symptoms of the approach of danger. It would have put the Treasury Department on its guard; both acting in concert, would certainly have been able at least to retard the event; and, as the treaty of peace was ratified within less than six months after the suspension took place, that catastrophe would have been altogether avoided.

3d. He thinks it was the direct and immediate agent in producing the

resumption of specie payments.

It will be found, by reference to the Report of the Secretary of the Treasury, of December 1815, that his recommendation to establish a National Bank, was, in express terms, called "a proposition relating to the national circulating medium," and was exclusively founded on the necessity of restoring specie payments and the national currency. He states it as a fact incontestibly proved, that the State Banks could not at that time be successfully employed to furnish an uniform national currency. He mentions the failure of one attempt to associate them with that view; that another attempt, by their agency in circulating Treasury Notes, to overcome the inequalities of the exchange, has only been partially successful; that a plan recently proposed with the design to curtail the issues of bank notes, and to give each bank a legitimate share in the circulation, is not likely to receive the general sanction of the banks; and that a recurrence to the national authority is indispensable for the restoration of a national currency.

Such was the cotemporaneous and deliberate opinion of the officer of the government, who had to struggle against the difficulties of a paper currency, not only depreciated, but varying in value from day to day and from

place to place.

It was not till after the organization of the Bank of the United States, in the latter part of January, 1817, that delegates from the Banks of New-York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, and Virginia, assembled in Philadelphia, for the purpose of agreeing to a general and simultaneous resumption of specie payments. A compact proposed by the Bank of the United States, acceded to by the State Banks, and ratified by the Secretary of the Treasury, was the result of that convention. The State Banks engaged to commence and continue specie payments, on various conditions, relative to the transfer and payment of the public balances on their books, to the Bank of the United States, and to the sum which it engaged previously to discount for individuals, or under certain contingencies for said Banks, and also with the express stipulation, that the Bank of the United States, upon any emergency which might menace the credit of any of the said banks, would contribute its resources to any reasonable extent in support thereof, confiding in the justice and discretion of the Banks respectively, to circumscribe their affairs within the just limits indicated by their respective capitals, as soon

as the interest and convenience of the community would admit. To that compact, which was carried into complete effect, and to the importation of more than seven millions of dollars in specie from abroad by the Bank of the United States, the community is indebted for the universal restoration of specie payments, and for their having been sustained, during the period of great difficulty and of unexampled exportation of specie to China, which minediately ensued.

4th. He thinks that it has established and maintains a uniform currency. In order to attain perfect uniformity, the value of a paper currency should, in the United States, be always the same as that of the gold and silver coins, of which it takes the place. It is impossible to fulfil that condition better, than by making that currency payable on demand in specie and at par. This cannot be done but at certain places designated for that pur-

pose.

Those notes, wherever made payable, are by the charter, receivable in all payments to the United States: and as the Bank is obliged, without any allowance on account of difference of exchange, to transfer the public funds from place to place within the United States, any loss arising from that cause falls on the institution. For that purpose, therefore, all the notes issued by the Bank constitute but one uniform currency, with which all the duties, taxes, imposts, and excises, may be paid. Not only the condition of uniformity imposed by the Constitution is strictly fulfilled, but by far the greater part of the notes which may happen to circulate out of the States in which they are made payable, is also absorbed by that operation.

5th. He thinks that its operations in exchange, and cheap transfer of funds which it is thus enabled to make, are of great advantage to the whole

country.

A similar objection has been made with respect to the dealings in domestic exchange of the bank. These consist of two correlative but distinct operations. The bank purchases at Philadelphia, and at every one of its offices, bills of exchange payable at different dates, and on all parts of the United States where there are such offices; and the bank and its offices seil their drafts on each other, payable at sight. The amount of both has been progressively increasing, to the great convenience of the public. That of bills of exchange was 29,335,254, and that of bank drafts 24,384,232 dolars, during the year 1829. In the same year the transfers of public moneys, which are effected by treasury drafts, analogous to bills of exchange at sight, have amounted to 9,066,000 dollars. The three items together make a total of 62,785,486 dollars, transmitted by the bank in one year through the medium of bills and drafts, which are thus substituted to the transportation of specie to the same amount.

The purchase of bills of exchange is an operation similar, as relates to interest, to the discounting of notes. The interest accruing, from the time of purchase or discount to that when they become due, is equally allowed in both cases. Deducting this, the gross profit, on the purchase of bills, arising from the rate of exchange at which they were purchased, amounted in the year 1829 to 227,224 dollars, or less than three fourths per cent. The premiums on the sale of bank drafts amounted to 42,826 dollars; but to this must be added the interests accruing on the drafts actually in circulation, and which, estimating as before stated, the time during which, on an average, they remain so, at fifteen days, amount to near sixty-one thousand dollars. The profit on those drafts is therefore near one hundred and

four thousand dollars, or about three-sevenths per cent. The interest lost by the bank on the treasury drafts, is from fifteen to twenty thousand dollars; and the charges for transportation of specie, postage, and incidental expenses, amounted, in the year 1829, to 49.847 dollars. The nett profit of the bank, on the aggregate of those transactions, is, therefore, about two hundred and sixty-four thousand dollars, or a fraction more than two-fifths per cent. on the whole amount.

There is not, it is believed, a single country, where the community is, in

that respect, served with less risk or expense.

6th. He thinks that it maintains the general soundness of the currency,

and without injuring the State Banks.

Those statements also show that the Bank of the United States, wherever its operations have been extended, has effectually checked excessive issues on the part of the State banks, if not in every instance, certainly in the aggregate. They had been reduced before the year 1820, from sixty six to less than forty millions. At that time those of the Bank of the United States fell short of four millions. The increased amount required by the increase of population and wealth during the ten ensuing years, has been supplied in a much greater proportion by that bank than by those of With a treble capital, they have added little more than eight millions to their issues. Those of the Bank of the United States were nominally twelve, in reality about eleven millions greater in November, 1829, than in November, 1819. The whole amount of the paper currency has, during those ten years, increased about forty-five, and that portion which is issued by the state banks, only twenty-two and a half per cent. We have indeed a proof, not very acceptable, perhaps, to the banks, but conclusive of the fact, that it has performed the office required of it in that respect. The general complaints, on the part of many of the State banks, that they are checked and controlled in their operations by the Bank of the United States, that to use a common expression, it operates as a screw, is the best evidence that its general operation is such as had been intended. It was for that very purpose that the bank was established. We are not, however, aware that a single solvent bank has been injured by that of the United States, though many have undoubtedly been restrained in the extent of their operations, much more than was desirable to them. This is certainly inconvenient to some of the banks, but in its general effects is a public benefit to the community.

The best way to judge whether, in performing that unpopular duty, the Bank of the United States has checked the operations of the state banks more than was necessary, and has abused, in order to enrich itself at their expense, the power which was given for another purpose, is to compare their respective situations in the aggregate. In order to avoid any erroneous inference, we will put out of question those banks of which we could only make an estimate, and compare, with that of the United States.

those only of which we had actual returns.

The profit of banks, beyond the interest on their own capital, consists in that which they receive on the difference between the aggregate of their deposites and notes in circulation, and the amount of specie in their vaults. We have given the aggregate situation for the end of the year 1829, of 281 banks, with a capital of 94,245,650 dollars, the deposites and circulating notes of which amounted together to \$71,275,504, from which deducting the specie in their vaults, \$11,919,353, leaves for the said difference \$59,356,151, or 644 per cent. on their capital.

The notes in circulation of the Bank of the United States (deducting two millions for those in transitu, and adding one million for its drafts in circulation) amounted in Nov. 1829, to \$14,844,984, and together with the deposites, to \$29,623,793, from which deducting the specie in its vaults, \$7,175,274, leaves for the difference, \$22,448,519, or 64 1-7 on its capital.

It is clear that those state banks, taken in the aggregate, have no just reason to complain, since that of the United States imposes no greater restraints on them than on itself. It will also be perceived that it had in specie, more than one-fifth part of the aggregate of its notes in circulation and deposites; whilst the State Banks had little more than one-eighth; and the Bank of the United States had, in addition, a fund of about one million of dollars in Europe. The difference would have been more striking, had we taken a view of the situation of all the State banks, including those on estimate; for the difference between the aggregate of their notes and de-

posites, and their specie, is 674 on their capital.

This view of the subject applies to the present time, when the Bank of the United States has surmounted the difficulties which it had, in its origin, to encounter, and has reached a high degree of prosperity. It did not go into operation till the commencement of the year 1817, and such were the losses which it first experienced, that its dividends, during the first six years of its existence, fell short of 3½ percent. a year. The dividend has since gradually increased from 5 to 7 per cent., but the average, during the thirteen years and a half ending on the first of July, 1830, has been but 4 88-100 per cent. a year. An annual dividend of about 9 per cent. during the residue of the time to which the charter is limited, would be necessary, in order that the stockholders should then have received, on an average, six per cent. a year on their capital. The dividends of the State banks vary too much, and our returns are too imperfect in that respect to enable us to estimate the average; but it has certainly far exceeded that of the Bank of the United States.

The manner in which the bank checks the issues of the State banks is equally simple and obvious. It consists in receiving the notes of all those which are solvent, and requiring payment from time to time, without suffering the balance due by any one to become too large. Those notes on hand, taking the average of the three and a half last years, amount always to about a million and a half of dollars; and the balances due by the banks in account current (deducting balances due to some) to about nine hundred thousand. We think that we may say, that, on this operation, which requires particular attention and vigilance, and must be carried on with great firmness and due forbearance, depends almost exclusively the stability of

the currency of the country."

The whole judgment is recapitulated in these words:

The principal advantages derived from the Bank of the United States, which no State bank, and, as it appears to us, no bank established on different principles could afford, are, therefore: First and principally, securing with certainty a uniform, and, as far as paper can, a sound currency;—Secondly, the complete security and great facility it affords to government in its fiscal operations; Thirdly, the great convenience and benefit accruing to the community, from its extensive transactions in domestic bills of exchange and inland drafts. We have not adverted to the aid which may be expected from that institution in time of war, and which should, we think, be confined to two objects.

First. The experience of the last war has sufficiently proved, that an efficient revenue must be provided, before, or immediately after that event takes place. Resort must be had, for that purpose, to a system of internal taxation, not engrafted on taxes previously existing, but which must be at once created. The utmost diligence and skill cannot render such new taxes productive before twelve or eighteen months. The estimated amount must be anticipated; and advances to that extent, including at least the estimated proceeds of one year of all the additional taxes laid during the

war, may justly be expected from the Bank of the United States. Secondly. It will also be expected, that it will powerfully assist in raising the necessary loans, not by taking up, on its own account, any sum beyond what may be entirely convenient and consistent with the safety and primary object of the institution, but by affording facilities to the money lenders. Those, who, in the first instance, subscribe to a public loan, do not intend to keep the whole, but expect to distribute it gradually with a reasonable profit. The greatest inducement, in order to obtain loans on moderate terms, consists in the probability that, if that distribution proceeds slower than had been anticipated, the subscribers will not be compelled, in order to pay their instalments, to sell the stock, and, by glutting the market, to sell it at a loss; and the assistance expected from the bank is to advance, on a deposit of the scrip, after the two first instalments have been paid, such portions of each succeeding payment, as may enable the subscribers. to hold the stock a reasonable length of time. As this operation may be renewed annually, on each successive loan, whilst the war continues, the aid afforded in that manner is far more useful than large direct advances to government, which always cripple the resources, and may endange? the safety of the bank.

LEGISLATURE OF PENNSYLVANIA.

The following resolution was passed unanimously, in the Senate of Pennsylvania, at the last session (that of 1830-1) and in the House of Representatives by a vote of seventy-five to eleven. Of the 11 who composed the minority, "it is understood," says the National Gazette (a paper of highly respectable standing, in Philadelphia) that nearly all of them—perhaps the whole except three—were friends of the Bank, whose only objection to the resolution was, that it did not propose a renewal entirely without reference to any restrictions." The resolution is no doubt approved of, with equal unanimity, by the people of that respectable and patriotic state.

RESOLUTION.

"That whereas the bank of the U. States has tended in so great a degree to maintain a sound and uniform currency, to facilitate the financial operations of the government, to regulate foreign and domestic exchange, and has been so conducive to commercial prosperity, that the Legislature of Pennsylvania recommend a renewal of its charter, under such regulations and restrictions as to the power of the respective states, as Congress may deem right and proper."

STRUBENVILLE—FRINTED BY SUBSCRIPTION,

By Jas. Wilson.